Heavy element abundances in cool dwarf stars: an implication for the evolution of the Galaxy *

Lyudmila Mashonkina^{1,2,3} and Thomas Gehren²

¹ Department of Astronomy, Kazan State University, Kremlevskaya 18, Kazan 8, Russia, 420008

² Institut für Astronomie und Astrophysik der Universität München, Scheinerstr. 1, 81679 München, Germany

³ Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik, Karl-Schwarzschild-Straße 1, D-85740 Garching, Germany

Received 23 Marz 2001 / Accepted

Abstract. We present revised strontium, barium and europium abundances for 63 cool stars with metallicities [Fe/H] ranging from -2.20 to 0.25. The stellar sample has been extracted from Fuhrmann's lists (1998, 2001). It is confined to main-sequence and turnoff stars. The results are based on NLTE line formation obtained in differential model atmosphere analyses of spectra that have a typical S/N of 200 and a resolution of 40000 to 60000. The element abundance ratios reveal a distinct chemical history of the halo and thick disk compared with that of the thin disk. Europium is overabundant relative to iron and barium in halo and thick disk stars suggesting that during the formation of these galactic populations high-mass stars exploding as SNe II dominated nucleosynthesis on a short time scale of the order of 1 Gyr. We note the importance of [Eu/Mg] determinations for halo stars. Our analysis leads to the preliminary conclusion that Eu/Mg ratios found in halo stars do not support current theoretical models of the r-process based on low-mass SNe; instead they seem to point at a halo formation time much shorter than 1 Gyr. A steep decline of [Eu/Fe] and a slight decline of [Eu/Ba] with increasing metallicity have been first obtained for thick disk stars. This indicates the start of nucleosynthesis in the lower mass stars, in SN I and AGB stars, which enriched the interstellar gas with iron and the most abundant s-process elements. From a decrease of the Eu/Ba ratio by $\sim 0.10 \dots 0.15$ dex the time interval corresponding to the thick disk formation phase can be estimated. The step-like change of element abundance ratios at the thick to thin disk transition found in our previous analysis (Mashonkina & Gehren 2000) is confirmed in this study: [Eu/Ba] and [Eu/Fe] are reduced by ~ 0.25 dex and ~ 0.15 dex, respectively; [Ba/Fe] increases by ~ 0.1 dex. This is indicative of an intermediate phase before the early stage of the thin disk developed, during which only evolved middle and low mass (< $8M_{\odot}$) stars contributed to nucleosynthesis. Our data provide an independent method to calculate the duration of this phase. The main s-process becomes dominant in the production of heavy elements beyond the iron group during the thin disk evolution. We find that in the thin disk stars Ba/Fe ratios increase with time from [Ba/Fe] = -0.06 in stars older than 8 Gyr to [Ba/Fe] = 0.06 in stars that are between 2 and 4 Gyr old.

Key words. Line: formation - Nuclear reactions, nucleosynthesis, abundances - Stars: abundances - Stars: late-type - Galaxy: evolution

1. Introduction

Observations of heavy elements beyond the iron group in cool dwarf stars give useful information about nucleosynthesis in the Galaxy and also for some important parameters of the evolution of the Galaxy: initial mass function (IMF), star formation rate (SFR), and a timescale for the formation of Galactic stellar populations. Abundances of these elements in the solar system have contributions in differing proportions from two processes, the s- and r-process of neutron capture. In turn, the overall sprocess abundance pattern is best fitted by a combination of two s-process components: the *main* s-process which occurs during the thermally pulsing asymptotic giant branch (AGB) phase of low-mass stars (2 - 4 M_{\odot}), and which dominates the s-process contribution to Rb and heavier elements; and the weak s-process which is thought to run in the cores of massive stars, $M \ge 10 \ M_{\odot}$, and which corresponds to the lighter elements with A \leq 85 (Käppeler et al. 1989). The r-process is associated with explosive conditions in SNe II. Progenitors of SN II have much shorter lifetimes compared with those of AGB stars, and there must be a delay in the onset of the main s-process nucleosynthesis compared with the production of r-nuclei. The europium to barium abundance ratio is particularly sensitive to whether nucleosynthesis of the heavy elements occured in the s- or r-process. For the solar system matter $\log \varepsilon_{\mathrm{Eu},\odot} - \log \varepsilon_{\mathrm{Ba},\odot} = -1.67$ (Grevesse et al. 1996). The contributions of the s- and r-process to the solar Ba abundance consist of 81% and 19% according to the most recent data of

Send offprint requests to: L. Mashonkina,

e-mail: Lyudmila.Mashonkina@ksu.ru

^{*} Based on observations collected at the German Spanish Astronomical Center, Calar Alto, Spain

Arlandini et al. (1999), whereas 94% of the solar europium originated from the r-process. Thus, the solar abundance ratio of Eu to Ba contributed by the r-process relative to the total abundances, $[Eu/Ba]_r$ equals 0.70. The oldest stars of the Galaxy are expected to carry a significant Eu overabundance of about 0.7 dex relative to barium, provided that the r-process in early Galaxy was similar to solar one. A clear break in the run of [Eu/Ba] ratios with overall metallicity should signal the onset of the contribution to barium by AGB stars, and the location of this break provides an independent estimate for the timescale of star formation during the early stages of Galactic evolution.

In our previous analysis (Mashonkina & Gehren 2000, thereafter Paper I) we have already presented Ba and Eu abundances in cool dwarf stars. An important advantage of that study was provided by taking into account the membership of individual stars in particular stellar populations of the Galaxy. The existence of the dynamically hot and metal-poor halo stellar population and the dynamically cool and metal-rich disk population was outlined by Eggen et al. (1962) on the base of dynamical and chemical data. This conclusion was supported by many the later observational results. Gilmore & Reid (1983) offered the first evidence for the existence of another Galactic stellar population, the thick disk. The properties of the thick disk place it between those of the halo and the thin disk, and the key question is whether it is related to either of them in terms of the Galaxy's chemical and dynamical evolution. Gratton et al. (1996) were the first to directly compare the [Fe/O] abundance ratios of 15 thick disk stars with halo and thin disk populations. Their results reveal a nearly constant ratio in both halo and thick disk, and an increase of [Fe/O] by ~ 0.2 dex during the transition from the thick to the thin disk population indicating a sudden decrease in star formation in the solar neighbourhood at that epoch. A new, clear evidence of chemical distinction of the thick from thin disk was given in the study of Fuhrmann (1998). For a sample of 50 stars he found a clear separation of the [Mg/Fe] ratios between the two stellar populations and inferred an intermediate phase of low or even ceased star formation before the earliest stars of the thin disk were formed ~ 9 Gyr ago.

Last year there appeared several studies (Gratton et al., 2000; Prochaska et al., 2000; Mashonkina & Gehren, 2000; Bernkopf et al., 2001) which present strong additional evidence for a distinct chemical history of the thick and thin disk. From the [Fe/O] and [Fe/Mg] ratios for the sample of about 300 stars Gratton et al. (2000) argue that the halo and thick disk formation occured on a short timescale and that there was a sudden decrease in star formation between the thick and thin disk phases. The new study of Munich astrophysicists (Bernkopf et al., 2001) for an extended sample of (~ 100) stars improves the statistical significance of the earlier conclusions of Fuhrmann (1998). For a sample of 10 thick disk stars Prochaska et al. (2000) have determined abundances of several α -elements, O, Mg, Si and Ca, light elements, Na and Al, iron peak elements and heavy elements, Y, Ba and Eu. From comparison of their results with abundance studies of the halo, bulge, and thin disk taken from the literature they conclude that in the majority of cases the thick disk stars exhibit X/Fe ratios distinct from the

thin disk. To derive Eu abundances they used the very weak Eu II subordinate line $\lambda 6645$ and obtained results only for 4 stars. For these stars they found an overabundance of Eu relative to Ba indicating a dominance of the r-process in heavy element production.

In Paper I we have determined the [Eu/Ba] abundance ratios for a larger sample (15) of stars on the base of non-local thermodynamical equilibrium (NLTE) line formation for Ba II and Eu II and it was shown that

- 1. not only the halo but also the thick disk stars reveal an overabundance of europium relative to barium with mean values of [Eu/Ba] = 0.64 and 0.49, respectively
- 2. there is a step-like decrease in the [Eu/Ba] ratios at the thick to thin disk transition
- 3. the two halo stars with Eu abundances could be determined show an overabundance of europium relative to magnesium of above 0.2 dex.

From these observational results the conclusions important for the Galaxy's evolution follow immediately

- the halo and thick disk stellar populations were formed rapidly during an interval comparable to the evolution time of an AGB progenitor of 3 to 4 M_{\odot} , because halo and thick disk stars reveal an insignificant contribution of the s-process to barium synthesis
- the star formation rate must have been high at that epoch because during this interval the metallicity of the Galactic gas increased to $[Fe/H] = \sim -0.3$
- before the first stars of the thin disk evolved, there was a phase of nearly ceased star formation during which rprocess element production stopped but s-process nuclei of Ba were synthesized in evolved low-mass stars.

In addition, one problem remained unsolved in Paper I. One commonly believes that Mg and Eu are mainly produced in SN II explosions but the question is whether the α - and r-process occur in a common site. What are the progenitor masses of SNe II in which the r-process runs with the highest efficiency? And what about similar values for α -process? Our data on the [Eu/Mg] abundance ratios in the two halo stars point to different sites of r-process and α -process nucleosynthesis.

Our work was, however, restricted to a rather small sample of only 15 stars with Eu abundances and 29 stars with Ba abundances obtained. To improve the significance of our earlier conclusions, we extend in this study our analysis to a total of 63 stars. As in Paper I the stars are selected from Fuhrmann's (1998, 2001) lists. Fuhrmann's sample of cool nearby stars with metallicities [Fe/H] from -2 to 0.25 includes only main sequence stars (MS) or stars close to the MS with carefully derived stellar parameters $T_{\rm eff}$, log g, [Fe/H] and microturbulence $V_{\rm mic}$ and, thus, provides a reliable base for element abundance determinations and the study of Galactic chemical evolution. For all the stars added to our new sample high-resolution spectra observed at $R \simeq 60000$ are used. We will show in the present analysis that the basic results of Paper I are still valid and some new observational findings constraining the models

of Galaxy evolution become apparent. The most important of them is a slight decline of the [Eu/Ba] abundance ratios with increasing metallicity for the thick disk stars, which can be used to calculate the duration of star formation in the thick disk stellar population.

In addition to barium and europium, strontium abundances are determined in this study. The most abundant Sr isotopes, ⁸⁶Sr, ⁸⁷Sr and ⁸⁸Sr, have masses that lie on the boundary between nuclei produced by the weak and main s-process. Based on calculations of stellar models for 1.5 and 3 M_{\odot} AGB stars Arlandini et al. (1999) have found an 85% contribution of the main s-process to solar strontium. The contribution of the weak component can only be estimated: using a classical approach Arlandini et al. obtain 6%, and for the main component 90%; the remaining 4% correspond to residuals of the r-process. In fact, the uncertainty of r-process and weak s-process contributions may be a factor of 2 or even larger. Keeping in mind that s-nuclei of Ba are produced only by the main s-process which is described much better compared with the weak component we can use the strontium-to-barium abundance ratio as a useful diagnostic of the type of s-process that formed Sr. Strontium is more abundant than barium and the Sr II resonance lines λ 4077Å and λ 4215Å can be detected even in extremely metal-poor stars. However, both lines are strongly blended and element abundances must be derived using synthetic spectra. The study of Gratton & Sneden (1994) is based on synthetic spectra of only the Sr II λ 4215 line, but in most investigations (Hartmann & Gehren 1988; Magain 1989; McWilliam et al. 1995; Ryan et al. 1996) Sr abundances are based on equivalent widths (W_{λ}) of the Sr II lines. Other investigations use the weaker Sr I resonance line λ 4607 (Gratton & Sneden 1994; Jehin et al. 1999). However, in the case of minor species such as Sr I some uncertainties of elemental abundances are expected due to using the LTE assumption. Therefore the observational situation with Sr abundances in cool stars is not clear. In this study we obtain strontium abundances from the Sr II lines on the base of NLTE line formation using synthetic spectra. NLTE effects for Sr II in cool metal-poor stars are considered for the first time. We use in this study the method of NLTE calculations for Sr II developed by Belyakova & Mashonkina (1997).

The remaining paper is organized as follows. Observations and stellar parameters are described in Sect. 2. NLTE barium and europium abundances obtained using the methods described in Paper I are discussed in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4 we describe the Sr II model atom and NLTE effects for Sr II. In the next section *solar* Sr II line profiles are fitted to improve empirically three types of atomic parameters important for further analyses of stellar spectra: the efficiency of collisions with hydrogen atoms in Sr II kinetic equilibrium, van der Waals damping constants of the Sr II lines and oscillator strengths of line blends. Stellar strontium NLTE abundances are presented at the end of this section. In the final section we discuss the element abundance ratios and implications for nucleosynthesis and the evolution of the Galaxy.

2. Observations, stellar parameters and model atmospheres

Our results are based on spectra observed mostly by Klaus Fuhrmann and in part by Andreas Korn and the late Michael Pfeiffer using the fiber optics Cassegrain échelle spectrograph FOCES fed by the 2.2m telescope at the Calar Alto observatory during 10 observing runs in 1995 - 2000. The data cover an approximate spectral range of 4000 - 7000 Å. In total, our sample now includes 63 stars: 27 stars from our previous work (Paper I), 24 stars newly observed in January and May 2000, and 12 thin disk stars observed earlier and added to cover as best as possible the metallicity range of the thin disk. Table 1 lists all the new stars plus 19 stars from Paper I for which Sr abundances were determined. Almost all of the stars were observed at least twice. For the 1995 spectra (11 stars of our old sample) the resolving power was ~ 40000 and the later spectra of 52 stars were observed at $\lambda/\Delta\lambda \sim 60000$. The signal-to-noise ratio is ~ 200 in the spectral range where the Ba II $\lambda 4554$, $\lambda 5853$ and $\lambda 6496$ lines are located and ~ 100 in the range of the Eu II λ 4129 and Sr II λ 4161, λ 4215 lines.

We use spectra reduced according to the description given in Pfeiffer et al. (1998). Stellar element abundances are derived from line profile fitting and the instrumental profile is found from comparison of FOCES Moon spectra with the Kitt Peak Solar Flux Atlas (Kurucz et al. 1984). Observations are well fitted by a Gaussian of different values for different observing runs (i.e. from 3.2 km s^{-1} to 5 km s^{-1}).

As in Paper I we use stellar parameters determined mostly by Fuhrmann (1998, 2001) spectroscopically: effective temperatures $T_{\rm eff}$ from Balmer line profile fitting, surface gravities log g from line wings of the Mg Ib triplet, metallicities [Fe/H] and microturbulence values $V_{\rm mic}$ from the Fe II line profile fitting. For three stars, HD 19445, BD 2°3375 and BD 34°2476, we adopt the stellar parameters determined by Andreas Korn (2000) obtained with the same methods. All parameters are given in Table 1. The identification of stellar population for all stars of our sample is from Fuhrmann (1998) and Bernkopf et al. (2001), based on the star's kinematics, α element enhancement and age.

For each star a line-blanketed LTE model atmosphere has been generated at given values of $T_{\rm eff}$, log g, [Fe/H] and [α /Fe], where [α /Fe] is the relative abundance of the most abundant α -process elements O, Mg and Si, which in cool stellar atmospheres contribute in significant amounts to the electron pressure. We assume that oxygen and silicon abundances follow magnesium and adopt [α /Fe] = [Mg/Fe]. The [Mg/Fe] abundance ratios are taken from Fuhrmann (1998) and Bernkopf et al. (2001) analyses. Three aspects concerning model atmosphere calculations are worth mentioning

- 1. The mixing-length parameter l/H_p was adopted to be 0.5.
- 2. The opacity distribution functions (ODF) are interpolated from Kurucz' (1994) ODF tables for the proper stellar metallicities. In addition, they were scaled by -0.16 dex to reset the iron opacity calculated by Kurucz with $\log \varepsilon_{\rm Fe} =$ 7.67 to the improved meteoritic value $\log \varepsilon_{\rm Fe} =$ 7.51, which we believe to be the best representation of the solar

Table 1. Stellar parameters of the selected sample. Most of the entries are self-explanatory. $V_{\rm mic}$ is given in km s⁻¹

3795 5370 3.82 1.0 -0.64 0.02 0.56 0.04 4614 5940 4.33 1.0 -0.30 0.03 0.11 0.00 10519 5710 4.00 1.1 -0.64 -0.05 0.38 0.06 10697 5610 3.96 1.0 0.10 -0.01 -0.08 -0.17 18757 5710 4.34 1.0 -0.28 -0.11 0.25 -0.12 30649 5820 4.28 1.2 -0.47 -0.10 0.32 -0.10 30743 6300 4.03 1.6 -0.45 -0.02 0.13 - 3712 5610 4.44 0.9 -0.44 -0.12 0.30 -0.11 45282 5280 3.12 1.4 -1.52 -0.07 0.60 -0.02 5575 5890 4.25 1.0 -0.36 -0.05 0.20 -0.01 61421 6470 4.00	HD/BD	$T_{\rm eff}$	$\log g$	$V_{\rm mic}$	[Fe/H]	[Ba/Fe]	[Eu/Fe]	[Sr/Fe]
4614 5940 4.33 1.0 -0.30 0.03 0.11 0.00 10519 5710 4.34 1.0 -0.01 -0.03 -0.17 18757 5710 4.34 1.0 -0.28 -0.11 0.25 -0.12 19445 6060 4.44 1.4 -1.99 -0.13 $ -0.13$ 22879 5870 4.27 1.2 -0.86 -0.02 0.13 $-$ 37124 5610 4.44 0.9 -0.44 -0.12 0.30 -0.11 43042 6440 4.23 1.5 0.04 0.00 -0.02 0.10 52715 5890 4.25 1.0 -0.36 -0.05 0.20 -0.10 63201 540 4.18 1.2 -0.69 -0.66 0.36 -0.22 64606 5320 4.55 0.8 -0.73 -0.02 0.28 -0.11 <td< td=""><td>3795</td><td>5370</td><td>3.82</td><td>1.0</td><td>-0.64</td><td>0.02</td><td>0.56</td><td>0.04</td></td<>	3795	5370	3.82	1.0	-0.64	0.02	0.56	0.04
10519 5710 4.00 1.1 -0.64 -0.05 0.38 0.06 10697 5610 3.96 1.0 -0.10 -0.01 -0.08 -0.11 18757 5710 4.34 1.0 -0.28 -0.11 0.25 -0.12 19445 6600 4.44 1.4 -1.99 -0.13 - -0.13 22879 5870 4.27 1.2 -0.86 -0.02 0.032 -0.10 30743 6300 4.03 1.6 -0.44 -0.12 0.30 -0.11 43042 6440 4.23 1.5 0.04 0.00 -0.02 0.10 45281 5280 3.12 1.4 -1.52 -0.07 0.60 -0.02 55575 5890 4.25 1.0 -0.36 -0.05 0.20 -0.10 55575 5890 4.25 1.0 -0.37 -0.06 0.36 -0.02 6400 5320 4.	4614	5940	4.33	1.0	-0.30	0.03	0.11	0.00
10697 5610 3.96 1.0 0.10 -0.01 -0.08 -0.17 18757 5710 4.34 1.0 -0.28 -0.11 0.25 -0.12 19445 6060 4.44 1.4 -0.99 -0.13 - -0.13 22879 5870 4.27 1.2 -0.66 -0.02 0.42 0.07 30649 5820 4.28 1.2 -0.47 -0.10 0.32 -0.10 37124 5610 4.44 0.9 -0.44 -0.12 0.30 -0.11 43042 6440 4.23 1.5 0.04 0.00 -0.02 0.10 45282 5280 3.12 1.4 -1.52 -0.07 0.60 -0.02 5855 6310 4.16 1.4 -0.32 0.08 - - 61421 6470 4.00 1.9 -0.01 -0.17 0.01 0.09 62301 4.51 1.0	10519	5710	4.00	1.1	-0.64	-0.05	0.38	0.06
18757 5710 4.34 1.0 -0.28 -0.11 0.25 -0.12 19445 6060 4.44 1.4 -1.99 -0.13 $ -0.13$ 22879 5870 4.27 1.2 -0.46 -0.02 0.13 $-$ 30649 5820 4.28 1.2 -0.47 -0.10 0.32 -0.11 43042 6440 4.23 1.5 0.04 -0.02 0.10 45282 5280 3.12 1.4 -1.52 -0.07 0.60 -0.02 5575 5890 4.25 1.0 -0.36 -0.05 0.20 -0.10 58855 6310 4.16 1.4 -0.32 0.08 -0.22 -0.10 6406 5320 4.54 1.0 -0.89 -0.06 0.36 -0.22 6301 4.55 0.8 -0.73 -0.06 -0.13 -0.04 6301 <	10697	5610	3.96	1.0	0.10	-0.01	-0.08	-0.17
19445 6060 4.44 1.4 -1.99 -0.13 - -0.13 22879 5870 4.27 1.2 -0.86 -0.02 0.02 0.03 30649 5820 4.28 1.2 -0.47 -0.10 0.32 -0.10 37124 5610 4.44 0.9 -0.44 -0.12 0.30 -0.11 43042 6440 4.23 1.5 0.04 0.00 -0.02 0.10 45282 5280 3.12 1.4 -1.52 -0.07 0.60 -0.02 5575 5890 4.25 1.0 -0.36 -0.05 0.20 -0.10 5855 6310 4.16 1.4 -0.32 0.08 - - - 64201 520 4.55 0.8 -0.73 -0.05 0.46 0.02 6583 530 4.45 0.9 -0.40 -0.12 -0.11 0.36 0.05 6401 <td< td=""><td>18757</td><td>5710</td><td>4.34</td><td>1.0</td><td>-0.28</td><td>-0.11</td><td>0.25</td><td>-0.12</td></td<>	18757	5710	4.34	1.0	-0.28	-0.11	0.25	-0.12
22879 5870 4.27 1.2 -0.86 -0.02 0.42 0.07 30649 5820 4.28 1.2 -0.47 -0.10 0.32 -0.10 307124 5610 4.44 0.9 -0.44 -0.12 0.30 -0.11 43042 6440 4.23 1.5 0.04 0.00 -0.02 0.10 45282 5280 3.12 1.4 -1.52 -0.07 0.60 -0.08 5575 5890 4.25 1.0 -0.36 -0.05 0.20 -0.10 61421 6470 4.00 1.9 -0.01 -0.17 0.01 0.09 62301 5940 4.18 1.2 -0.69 -0.06 0.36 -0.02 6460 5320 4.55 0.8 -0.73 -0.05 0.42 -0.11 67228 5850 3.93 1.2 0.12 -0.28 -0.11 09508 5800 4.35 1.0	19445	6060	4.44	1.4	-1.99	-0.13	_	-0.13
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22879	5870	4.27	1.2	-0.86	-0.02	0.42	0.07
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30649	5820	4.28	1.2	-0.47	-0.10	0.32	-0.10
37124 5610 4.44 0.9 -0.44 -0.12 0.30 -0.11 43042 6440 4.23 1.5 0.04 0.00 -0.02 0.10 45282 5280 3.12 1.4 -1.52 -0.07 0.60 -0.08 52711 5890 4.25 1.0 -0.36 -0.05 0.20 -0.10 5855 6310 4.16 1.4 -0.32 0.08 - - 61421 6470 4.00 1.9 -0.01 -0.17 0.01 0.09 63301 4.18 1.2 -0.69 -0.06 0.36 -0.02 64606 5320 4.55 0.8 -0.73 -0.05 0.46 0.02 67228 5850 3.93 1.2 0.12 -0.28 -0.11 69611 5820 4.38 1.0 -0.33 -0.03 0.26 -0.12 90508 5800 4.36 1.1 -0.21	30743	6300	4.03	1.6	-0.45	-0.02	0.13	_
43042 6440 4.23 1.5 0.04 0.00 -0.02 0.10 45282 5280 3.12 1.4 -1.52 -0.07 0.60 -0.08 52711 5890 4.31 1.0 -0.16 0.01 0.05 -0.02 55575 5890 4.25 1.0 -0.36 -0.05 0.20 -0.10 58855 6310 4.16 1.4 -0.32 0.08 $ -$ 61421 6470 4.00 1.9 -0.01 -0.17 0.00 $ -0.04$ 6503 5320 4.54 1.0 -0.89 -0.01 0.36 -0.01 66017 5630 4.45 0.9 -0.40 -0.12 0.28 -0.11 90508 5800 4.35 1.0 -0.33 -0.02 -0.12 102158 5760 4.24 1.1 -0.46 -0.13 0.34 -0.11	37124	5610	4.44	0.9	-0.44	-0.12	0.30	-0.11
45282 5280 3.12 1.4 -1.52 -0.07 0.60 -0.08 52711 5890 4.31 1.0 -0.16 0.01 0.05 -0.02 55575 5890 4.25 1.0 -0.36 -0.05 0.20 -0.10 58855 6310 4.16 1.4 -0.32 0.08 - - 61421 6470 4.00 1.9 -0.01 -0.17 0.01 0.09 62301 5940 4.18 1.2 -0.69 -0.06 0.35 -0.02 64606 5320 4.55 0.8 -0.73 -0.05 0.46 0.02 67228 5850 3.93 1.2 0.12 -0.28 -0.11 90518 5800 4.35 1.0 -0.33 -0.03 0.26 -0.12 90508 5800 4.35 1.0 -0.23 -0.13 0.28 -0.11 10352 5110 4.62 0.7	43042	6440	4.23	1.5	0.04	0.00	-0.02	0.10
52711 5890 4.31 1.0 -0.16 0.01 0.05 -0.02 55575 5890 4.25 1.0 -0.36 -0.05 0.20 -0.10 58855 6310 4.16 1.4 -0.32 0.08 - - 61421 6470 4.00 1.9 -0.01 -0.17 0.01 0.09 62301 5940 4.18 1.2 -0.69 -0.06 0.36 -0.02 64606 5320 4.55 0.8 -0.73 -0.05 0.46 0.02 67228 5850 3.93 1.2 0.12 -0.06 -0.13 -0.06 68017 5630 4.45 0.9 -0.40 -0.12 0.28 -0.11 90508 5800 4.35 1.0 -0.33 -0.03 0.26 -0.12 90508 5800 4.36 1.1 -0.21 -0.07 - - 11 10395 5110 4.66 0.8 -1.35 0.00 0.55 -0.11 103022	45282	5280	3.12	1.4	-1.52	-0.07	0.60	-0.08
55575 5890 4.25 1.0 -0.36 -0.05 0.20 -0.10 58855 6310 4.16 1.4 -0.32 0.08 $-$ 61421 6470 4.00 1.9 -0.01 -0.17 0.01 0.09 64606 5320 4.54 1.0 -0.89 -0.09 0.47 -0.04 65583 5320 4.55 0.8 -0.73 -0.05 -0.46 0.02 67228 5850 3.93 1.2 0.12 -0.28 -0.11 69611 5820 4.18 1.2 -0.60 -0.11 0.36 0.05 84937 6350 4.03 1.7 -2.07 0.00 $ -0.12$ 90508 5800 4.35 1.0 -0.33 -0.03 0.26 -0.11 103095 5110 4.62 0.7 -0.43 -0.13 0.28 -0.12 117176	52711	5890	4.31	1.0	-0.16	0.01	0.05	-0.02
58855 6310 4.16 1.14 -0.32 0.08 61421 6470 4.00 1.9 -0.01 -0.17 0.01 0.09 62301 5940 4.18 1.2 -0.69 -0.06 0.36 -0.02 64606 5320 4.54 1.0 -0.89 -0.09 0.47 -0.04 65583 5320 4.55 0.8 -0.73 -0.05 0.46 0.02 67228 5850 3.93 1.2 0.12 -0.06 -0.13 -0.06 68017 5630 4.45 0.9 -0.40 -0.12 0.28 -0.11 90508 5800 4.35 1.0 -0.33 -0.03 0.26 -0.12 90508 5800 4.35 1.0 -0.33 -0.03 0.26 -0.11 103935 5110 4.66 0.8 -1.13 0.28 -0.12 10716 5480 3.83 1.0<	55575	5890	4.25	1.0	-0.36	-0.05	0.20	-0.10
61421 6470 4.00 1.9 -0.01 -0.01 0.01 0.09 62301 5940 4.18 1.2 -0.69 -0.06 0.36 -0.02 64606 5320 4.54 1.0 -0.89 -0.09 0.47 -0.04 65583 5320 4.55 0.8 -0.73 -0.05 0.46 0.02 67228 5850 3.93 1.2 0.12 -0.06 -0.13 -0.06 68017 5630 4.45 0.9 -0.40 -0.12 0.28 -0.11 96518 5800 4.35 1.0 -0.33 -0.03 0.26 -0.12 90508 5800 4.35 1.0 -0.33 -0.03 0.26 -0.12 102158 5760 4.24 1.1 -0.46 -0.13 0.34 -0.11 103035 5100 4.36 1.1 -0.03 0.07 0.01 0.05 114710 6000 <t< td=""><td>58855</td><td>6310</td><td>4.16</td><td>1.4</td><td>-0.32</td><td>0.08</td><td></td><td>_</td></t<>	58855	6310	4.16	1.4	-0.32	0.08		_
6131 613 </td <td>61421</td> <td>6470</td> <td>4.00</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>-0.01</td> <td>-0.17</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>0.09</td>	61421	6470	4.00	1.9	-0.01	-0.17	0.01	0.09
64606 5320 4.54 1.0 -0.89 -0.09 0.43 -0.04 65583 5320 4.55 0.8 -0.73 -0.05 0.46 0.02 67228 5850 3.93 1.2 0.12 -0.06 -0.13 -0.06 68017 5630 4.45 0.9 -0.40 -0.12 0.28 -0.11 69611 5820 4.18 1.2 -0.60 -0.11 0.36 0.05 84937 6350 4.03 1.7 -2.07 0.00 - -0.12 102158 5760 4.24 1.1 -0.46 -0.13 0.34 -0.01 103055 5110 4.62 0.7 -0.43 -0.13 0.28 -0.12 114710 6000 4.30 1.1 -0.03 0.07 0.01 0.05 11776 5480 3.83 1.0 -0.11 -0.14 0.40 126053 5690 4.45 1.	62301	5940	4 18	1.2	-0.69	-0.06	0.01	-0.02
6583 5320 4.55 0.8 -0.73 -0.05 0.46 0.02 67228 5850 3.93 1.2 0.12 -0.06 -0.13 -0.06 68017 5630 4.45 0.9 -0.40 -0.12 0.28 -0.11 69611 5820 4.18 1.2 -0.60 -0.11 0.36 0.05 84937 6350 4.03 1.7 -2.07 0.00 - -0.12 90508 5800 4.35 1.0 -0.33 -0.03 0.26 -0.12 102158 5760 4.24 1.1 -0.46 -0.13 0.34 -0.01 103095 5110 4.66 0.8 -1.35 0.00 0.55 -0.11 109358 5860 4.36 1.1 -0.21 -0.07 - - 114710 6000 4.30 1.1 -0.03 0.07 0.01 0.05 11776 5480 3.83 1.0 -0.11 -0.44 0.04 -0.14 126053 569	64606	5320	4 54	1.0	-0.89	-0.09	0.50	-0.04
67228 5850 3.93 1.2 0.13 -0.03 -0.13 -0.06 68017 5630 4.45 0.9 -0.40 -0.12 0.28 -0.11 69611 5820 4.18 1.2 -0.60 -0.11 0.36 0.05 84937 6350 4.03 1.7 -2.07 0.00 $ -0.12$ 90508 5800 4.35 1.0 -0.33 -0.03 0.26 -0.12 102158 5760 4.24 1.1 -0.46 -0.13 0.34 -0.01 103095 5110 4.66 0.8 -1.35 0.00 0.55 -0.11 103095 510 4.62 0.7 -0.43 -0.13 0.28 -0.12 114710 6000 4.30 1.1 -0.03 0.07 0.01 0.05 117176 5480 3.83 1.0 -0.11 -0.43 0.06 -14 -0.14 126053 5690 4.45 1.0 -0.35 -0.12	65583	5320	4 55	0.8	_0.73	_0.05	0.46	0.04
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	67228	5850	3.03	1.2	-0.75	-0.05	0.40	0.02
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	68017	5630	1 15	0.0	0.12	-0.00	-0.13	-0.00
05011 5.50 4.16 1.2 -0.00 -0.11 0.030 0.03 94937 6350 4.03 1.7 -2.07 0.00 - -0.12 90508 5800 4.35 1.0 -0.33 -0.03 0.26 -0.01 102158 5760 4.24 1.1 -0.46 -0.13 0.34 -0.01 103095 5110 4.66 0.8 -1.35 0.00 0.55 -0.11 109358 5860 4.36 1.1 -0.21 -0.07 - - 112758 5240 4.62 0.7 -0.43 -0.13 0.28 -0.12 114710 6000 4.30 1.1 -0.03 0.07 0.01 0.05 117176 5480 3.83 1.0 -0.11 -0.04 0.04 -0.14 121560 6140 4.27 1.2 -0.43 0.06 0.14 0.08 130322 5390 4.55 0.8 0.04 0.03 -0.04 - 142373 540	60611	5820	4.45	1.2	-0.40	-0.12	0.28	-0.11
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	07011 84027	5820 6250	4.10	1.2	-0.00	-0.11	0.50	0.05
90308 5800 4.33 1.0 -0.33 -0.03 0.26 -0.12 102158 5760 4.24 1.1 -0.46 -0.13 0.34 -0.01 103095 5110 4.66 0.8 -1.35 0.00 0.55 -0.11 109358 5860 4.36 1.1 -0.21 -0.07 - - 112758 5240 4.62 0.7 -0.43 -0.01 0.08 -0.12 114710 6000 4.30 1.1 -0.03 0.07 0.01 0.05 117176 5480 3.83 1.0 -0.11 -0.04 0.04 -0.14 12055 590 4.45 1.0 -0.35 -0.11 0.14 -0.08 130322 5390 4.55 0.8 0.04 0.03 -0.04 - 142373 5840 3.84 1.2 -0.57 -0.06 0.23 -0.06 144579 5330 4.59	00509	5800	4.05	1./	-2.07	0.00	0.26	-0.12
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	90508	5800	4.55	1.0	-0.33	-0.03	0.20	-0.12
103095 5110 4.66 0.8 -1.35 0.000 0.53 -0.11 109358 5860 4.36 1.1 -0.21 -0.07 - - 112758 5240 4.62 0.7 -0.43 -0.13 0.28 -0.12 114710 6000 4.30 1.1 -0.03 0.07 0.01 0.05 117176 5480 3.83 1.0 -0.11 -0.04 0.04 -0.14 121560 6140 4.27 1.2 -0.43 0.06 0.14 0.08 126053 5690 4.45 1.0 -0.35 -0.11 0.14 -0.10 130322 5390 4.55 0.8 0.04 0.03 -0.04 - 142373 5840 3.84 1.2 -0.57 -0.06 0.23 -0.06 144579 5330 4.59 0.8 -0.69 -0.08 -0.08 -0.09 176377 5860 4.43 0.9 -0.27 0.14 - - 179957 57	102158	5/00	4.24	1.1	-0.40	-0.13	0.54	-0.01
10935858604.361.1 -0.21 -0.07 $ -$ 11275852404.620.7 -0.43 -0.13 0.28 -0.12 11471060004.301.1 -0.03 0.070.010.0511717654803.831.0 -0.11 -0.04 0.04 -0.14 12156061404.271.2 -0.43 0.060.140.0812605356904.451.0 -0.35 -0.11 0.14 -0.10 13032253904.550.80.040.03 -0.04 $-$ 13214252404.580.7 -0.39 -0.09 0.26 $-$ 13498757404.251.00.25 -0.12 -0.17 -0.10 14237358403.841.2 -0.57 -0.06 0.23 -0.06 14457953304.590.8 -0.69 -0.08 0.46 -0.04 15721457354.241.0 -0.34 -0.13 0.34 -0.08 16800957854.231.0 -0.07 0.14 $ -$ 17995757404.380.9 -0.17 0.09 0.03 -0.11 18792357304.011.1 -0.17 -0.05 0.13 -0.10 18851251103.600.9 -0.17 0.09 0.3 -0.12 19814949903.401.0 -0.14 0.04 -0.12 <	103095	5110	4.00	0.8	-1.35	0.00	0.55	-0.11
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	109358	5860	4.30	1.1	-0.21	-0.07	-	- 12
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	112/58	5240	4.62	0.7	-0.43	-0.13	0.28	-0.12
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	114/10	6000	4.30	1.1	-0.03	0.07	0.01	0.05
121560 6140 4.27 1.2 -0.43 0.06 0.14 0.08 126053 5690 4.45 1.0 -0.35 -0.11 0.14 -0.10 130322 5390 4.55 0.8 0.04 0.03 -0.04 - 132142 5240 4.58 0.7 -0.39 -0.09 0.26 - 134987 5740 4.25 1.0 0.25 -0.12 -0.17 -0.10 142373 5840 3.84 1.2 -0.57 -0.06 0.23 -0.06 144579 5330 4.59 0.8 -0.69 -0.08 0.46 -0.04 157214 5735 4.24 1.0 -0.03 -0.08 -0.09 -0.14 - - 179957 5740 4.38 0.9 -0.01 -0.06 0.03 -0.14 179958 5760 4.32 0.9 0.02 -0.04 0.00 -0.12 187923 5730 4.01 1.1 -0.17 -0.05 0.13 -0.10 <td>11/1/6</td> <td>5480</td> <td>3.83</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>-0.11</td> <td>-0.04</td> <td>0.04</td> <td>-0.14</td>	11/1/6	5480	3.83	1.0	-0.11	-0.04	0.04	-0.14
126053 5690 4.45 1.0 -0.35 -0.11 0.14 -0.10 130322 5390 4.55 0.8 0.04 0.03 -0.04 $-$ 132142 5240 4.58 0.7 -0.39 -0.09 0.26 $-$ 134987 5740 4.25 1.0 0.25 -0.12 -0.17 -0.10 142373 5840 3.84 1.2 -0.57 -0.06 0.23 -0.06 144579 5330 4.59 0.8 -0.69 -0.08 0.46 -0.04 157214 5735 4.24 1.0 -0.33 -0.08 -0.09 -0.61 168009 5785 4.23 1.0 -0.03 -0.08 -0.09 176377 5860 4.43 0.9 -0.27 0.14 $ -$ 179957 5740 4.38 0.9 -0.01 -0.06 0.03 -0.11 187923 5730 4.01 1.1 -0.17 0.09 0.33	121560	6140	4.27	1.2	-0.43	0.06	0.14	0.08
130322 5390 4.55 0.8 0.04 0.03 -0.04 $-$ 132142 5240 4.58 0.7 -0.39 -0.09 0.26 $-$ 134987 5740 4.25 1.0 0.25 -0.12 -0.17 -0.10 142373 5840 3.84 1.2 -0.57 -0.06 0.23 -0.06 144579 5330 4.59 0.8 -0.69 -0.08 0.46 -0.04 157214 5735 4.24 1.0 -0.34 -0.13 0.34 -0.08 168009 5785 4.23 1.0 -0.03 -0.08 -0.09 176377 5860 4.43 0.9 -0.27 0.14 $ -$ 179957 5740 4.38 0.9 -0.01 -0.06 0.03 -0.11 187923 5730 4.01 1.1 -0.17 0.09 0.3 -0.10 188512 5110 3.60 0.9 -0.17 0.09 0.02 -0.03 <td>126053</td> <td>5690</td> <td>4.45</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>-0.35</td> <td>-0.11</td> <td>0.14</td> <td>-0.10</td>	126053	5690	4.45	1.0	-0.35	-0.11	0.14	-0.10
132142 5240 4.58 0.7 -0.39 -0.09 0.26 $-$ 134987 5740 4.25 1.0 0.25 -0.12 -0.17 -0.10 142373 5840 3.84 1.2 -0.57 -0.06 0.23 -0.06 144579 5330 4.59 0.8 -0.69 -0.08 0.46 -0.04 157214 5735 4.24 1.0 -0.34 -0.13 0.34 -0.08 168009 5785 4.23 1.0 -0.03 -0.08 -0.09 17637 5860 4.43 0.9 -0.27 0.14 $ -$ 179957 5740 4.38 0.9 -0.01 -0.06 0.03 -0.14 179958 5760 4.32 0.9 0.02 -0.04 0.00 -0.12 187923 5730 4.01 1.1 -0.17 0.09 0.33 -0.10 198198 6060 4.27 1.4 -1.12 -0.03 0.68 <td>130322</td> <td>5390</td> <td>4.55</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>0.04</td> <td>0.03</td> <td>-0.04</td> <td>_</td>	130322	5390	4.55	0.8	0.04	0.03	-0.04	_
134987 5740 4.25 1.0 0.25 -0.12 -0.17 -0.10 142373 5840 3.84 1.2 -0.57 -0.06 0.23 -0.06 144579 5330 4.59 0.8 -0.69 -0.08 0.46 -0.04 157214 5735 4.24 1.0 -0.34 -0.13 0.34 -0.08 168009 5785 4.23 1.0 -0.03 -0.08 -0.09 -0.14 $-$ 179957 5740 4.38 0.9 -0.01 -0.06 0.03 -0.14 179958 5760 4.32 0.9 0.02 -0.04 0.00 -0.12 187923 5730 4.01 1.1 -0.17 -0.05 0.13 -0.10 188512 5110 3.60 0.9 -0.17 0.09 0.03 -0.10 194598 6060 4.27 1.4 -1.12 -0.03 0.58 -0.11 195019 5800 4.16 1.0 0.04	132142	5240	4.58	0.7	-0.39	-0.09	0.26	-
142373 5840 3.84 1.2 -0.57 -0.06 0.23 -0.06 144579 5330 4.59 0.8 -0.69 -0.08 0.46 -0.04 157214 5735 4.24 1.0 -0.34 -0.13 0.34 -0.08 168009 5785 4.23 1.0 -0.03 -0.08 -0.09 176377 5860 4.43 0.9 -0.27 0.14 $ -$ 179957 5740 4.38 0.9 -0.01 -0.06 0.03 -0.14 179958 5760 4.32 0.9 0.02 -0.04 0.00 -0.12 187923 5730 4.01 1.1 -0.17 -0.05 0.13 -0.10 188512 5110 3.60 0.9 -0.17 0.09 0.03 -0.10 194598 6060 4.27 1.4 -1.12 -0.03 0.58 -0.11 195019 5800 4.16 1.0 0.04 -0.02 -0.02	134987	5740	4.25	1.0	0.25	-0.12	-0.17	-0.10
144579 5330 4.59 0.8 -0.69 -0.08 0.46 -0.04 157214 5735 4.24 1.0 -0.34 -0.13 0.34 -0.08 168009 5785 4.23 1.0 -0.03 -0.08 -0.09 176377 5860 4.43 0.9 -0.27 0.14 $-$ 179957 5740 4.38 0.9 -0.01 -0.06 0.03 -0.14 179958 5760 4.32 0.9 0.02 -0.04 0.00 -0.12 187923 5730 4.01 1.1 -0.17 -0.05 0.13 -0.10 188512 5110 3.60 0.9 -0.17 0.09 0.03 -0.10 194598 6060 4.27 1.4 -1.12 -0.03 0.00 -0.12 198149 4990 3.40 1.0 -0.14 0.04 0.01 $-$ 207978 <td>142373</td> <td>5840</td> <td>3.84</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>-0.57</td> <td>-0.06</td> <td>0.23</td> <td>-0.06</td>	142373	5840	3.84	1.2	-0.57	-0.06	0.23	-0.06
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	144579	5330	4.59	0.8	-0.69	-0.08	0.46	-0.04
168009 5785 4.23 1.0 -0.03 -0.08 -0.09 176377 5860 4.43 0.9 -0.27 0.14 $ -$ 179957 5740 4.38 0.9 -0.01 -0.06 0.03 -0.14 179958 5760 4.32 0.9 0.02 -0.04 0.00 -0.12 187923 5730 4.01 1.1 -0.17 -0.05 0.13 -0.10 188512 5110 3.60 0.9 -0.17 0.09 0.03 -0.10 194598 6060 4.27 1.4 -1.12 -0.03 0.58 -0.11 195019 5800 4.16 1.0 0.04 -0.03 0.00 -0.12 198149 4990 3.40 1.0 -0.14 0.04 0.01 $-$ 207978 6310 3.94 1.6 -0.52 0.00 $ -$ 222794	157214	5735	4.24	1.0	-0.34	-0.13	0.34	-0.08
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	168009	5785	4.23	1.0	-0.03	-0.08	-0.08	-0.09
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	176377	5860	4.43	0.9	-0.27	0.14	-	_
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	179957	5740	4.38	0.9	-0.01	-0.06	0.03	-0.14
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	179958	5760	4.32	0.9	0.02	-0.04	0.00	-0.12
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	187923	5730	4.01	1.1	-0.17	-0.05	0.13	-0.10
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	188512	5110	3.60	0.9	-0.17	0.09	0.03	-0.10
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	194598	6060	4.27	1.4	-1.12	-0.03	0.58	-0.11
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	195019	5800	4.16	1.0	0.04	-0.03	0.00	-0.12
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	198149	4990	3.40	1.0	-0.14	0.04	0.01	_
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	201891	5940	4.24	1.2	-1.05	-0.05	0.42	-0.02
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	207978	6310	3.94	1.6	-0.52	0.00	_	_
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	209458	6080	4.33	1.1	-0.06	0.10	0.10	0.11
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	222794	5620	3.94	1.2	-0.69	-0.10	0.38	0.05
HD/BD $T_{\rm eff}$ log g $V_{\rm mic}$ [Fe/H] [Ba/Fe] [Eu/Fe] [Sr/Fe] 0°2245 5630 3.85 1.2 -1.13 0.19 - 0.22 34°2476 6330 4.03 1.8 -1.96 0.13 - -0.21 66°268 5340 4.60 0.9 -2.20 0.07 - -0.11	2°3375	6200	4.31	1.4	-2.15	-0.18	_	-0.06
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	HD/BD	Toff	log a	Vmia	[Fe/H]	[Ba/Fe]	[Eu/Fe]	[Sr/Fe]
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0°2245	5630	3.85	1.2	_1.13	0.19		0.22
$66^{\circ}268$ 5340 4.60 0.9 -2.20 0.070.11	34°2476	6330	4.03	1.8	-1.96	0.13	_	-0.21
	66°268	5340	4.60	0.9	-2.20	0.07	_	-0.11

mixture. We refer to abundances on the usual scale where $\log \varepsilon_{\rm H} = 12$.

3. The b-f opacities were computed with solar abundances taken from Holweger (1979) and scaled according to the stellar metallicity. In addition, abundances of α -elements O, Mg and Si were scaled by the stellar Mg/Fe ratio. We note that the additional electron pressure in α -enhanced models results in a measurable weakening of spectral lines of dominant ionization stages such as Sr II, Ba II, Eu II. The effect increases with decreasing temperature. For example, an increase of α -element abundances by 0.4 dex in a model atmosphere leads to Ba abundances obtained from stellar spectra increasing by about 0.1 dex.

In Paper I for stars with $[Fe/H] \leq -0.6$ model atmospheres were interpolated from a grid of α -enhanced models with $[\alpha/Fe] = 0.4$, whereas those for thin disk stars referred to a grid of model atmospheres without any α -enhancement. However, the observed [Mg/Fe] ratio can differ from 0.4 dex in metalpoor stars (for example, HD 103095: [Mg/Fe] = 0.28) and from 0 in thin disk stars (for example, HD 117176: [Mg/Fe] = 0.08). For this reason Ba abundances obtained in our present study may deviate by up to 0.04 dex from the corresponding values calculated in Paper I.

3. Barium and europium abundances for the stars

We use the same method as in Paper I to derive Ba and Eu abundances for the stars. The synthetic line profiles are computed using the departure coefficients of the Ba II and Eu II levels from the code NONLTE3 (Sakhibullin 1983) and the LTE assumption for other atoms. The line list is extracted from Kurucz' (1994) compilation, and it includes all the relevant atomic and molecular lines. A differential analysis with respect to the Sun is performed. Solar barium and europium abundances, $\log \varepsilon_{\text{Ba},\odot} = 2.21$ and $\log \varepsilon_{\text{Eu},\odot} = 0.53$, and van der Waals damping constants C_6 for the Ba II and Eu II lines were determined in Paper I from solar line profile fitting. The methods of NLTE calculations for Ba II and Eu II were developed earlier (Mashonkina & Bikmaev 1996; Mashonkina et al. 1999; Mashonkina 2000; Paper I). Some examples of the Ba II and Eu II stellar line profile fitting were given in Paper I.

Barium abundances have now been determined for the 63 stars most of which are listed in Table 1; for 62 of them abundances are obtained both from the subordinate Ba II lines, $\lambda 5853$ and $\lambda 6496$, and from the resonance line $\lambda 4554$. As discussed earlier the Ba II resonance line is strongly affected by hyperfine structure (HFS) and, as a result, Ba abundances derived from this line depend on the even-to-odd Ba isotope abundance ratio adopted in calculations. A difference between Ba abundances obtained at solar ratio 82:18 (Cameron 1982) and the pure r-process ratio 56:44 (Arlandini et al. 1999) can reach to 0.2 dex (HD 45282) and it is minimum (0.08 dex) for the most metal-poor stars of our sample. That is why we prefer to use Ba abundances from the subordinate lines free of HFS effect. However, for the three most metal-poor stars with [Fe/H] < -2 the only subordinate line available, $\lambda 6496$, is very weak,

and the Ba abundance is determined with an uncertainty of about 0.1 dex. For these stars the resonance line profile leads to a much better fit, and the Ba abundance obtained is more reliable, provided that a realistic even-to-odd Ba isotopic ratio is used. We have shown in Paper I that barium seen in halo stars must have been mainly produced by the r-process. Assuming a pure r-process we have found Ba abundances from the $\lambda 4554$ line and compared them with the abundances from the $\lambda 6496$ line:

	$[\text{Ba}(\lambda 4554)_r/\text{Fe}]$	$[Ba(\lambda 6496)/Fe]$
HD 84937	-0.02	0.00
BD 2°3375	-0.11	-0.18
$BD66^{\circ}268$	0.01	0.07

For each star the difference $\log \varepsilon (\lambda 4554)_r - \log \varepsilon (\lambda 6496)$ is within the Ba abundance errors, and keeping in mind that the abundance from the resonance line is more reliable we adopt it as the final Ba abundance. Thus, for these three stars and for BD 34°2476, with only the resonance line available, Ba abundances have been obtained from the resonance line under the assumption of a pure r-process even-to-odd Ba isotope ratio. For the remaining 59 stars we have obtained Ba abundances from the subordinate lines. If both of them were available the average value was calculated.

NLTE effects for Ba II in cool stars were described in detail earlier (Mashonkina et al. 1999). Here we just note that the kinetic equilibrium of Ba II is strongly affected by radiative processes in b-b transitions because this is the dominant ionization stage. As a consequence NLTE effects for Ba II depend on the Ba abundance which correlates with the general metallicity of the model atmosphere. Thus, NLTE leads to a strengthening of the Ba II lines compared with the LTE case at [M/H] > -1.9and to the opposite effect at lower metallicities. NLTE effects are small for the weakest line λ 5853. NLTE abundance corrections $\Delta_{\rm NLTE} = \log \varepsilon_{\rm NLTE} - \log \varepsilon_{\rm LTE}$ do not exceed 0.1 dex by absolute value. Significant NLTE effects have been found for the second subordinate line $\lambda 6496$: Δ_{NLTE} is -0.2 dex on average in the metallicity range -1 < [Fe/H] < 0.25; it reduces by absolute value to 0.10 - 0.15 dex at metallicities between -1.5 and -1, and it becomes positive up to 0.15 dex at even lower [Fe/H]. Δ_{NLTE} is positive also for the resonance line in the four halo stars with Ba abundances derived from this line. Its value is at maximum for the hottest star, HD 84937: $\Delta_{\rm NLTE}$ = 0.30 dex and smaller for the coolest star, BD 66°268: $\Delta_{\rm NLTE}$ = 0.08 dex. For 52 stars with both subordinate lines available the mean value of the difference between NLTE abundances derived from $\lambda 6496$ and $\lambda 5853$ equals 0.00 ± 0.03 dex, while under the LTE assumption Ba abundances from the first line are systematically overestimated relative to $\log \varepsilon_{\text{LTE}}(\lambda 5853)$ with the mean difference of 0.11 ± 0.04 . This gives reason to believe that the uncertainty of our NLTE line formation treatment leads to Ba abundance errors not greater than 0.03 dex.

The final [Ba/Fe] abundance ratios are presented in Table 1 and Fig. 1. In addition, in the bottom panel of Fig. 1 we give [Ba/H]. As the reference solar abundance $\log \varepsilon_{\rm Fe,\odot} = 7.51$ adopted by Fuhrmann (1998, 2001) is used in stellar metallicity determinations. As discussed in Paper I, uncertainties of stellar parameters cause abundance errors up to $\Delta \log \varepsilon_{\rm Ba} = 0.11$ dex.



Fig. 1. The runs of [Ba/Fe] and [Ba/H] with [Fe/H]. Symbols correspond to the thin disk (open circles), the thick disk (filled circles), and the halo stars (asterisks). The two stars indicated by a cross in an open circle are transition stars according to Fuhrmann (1998). Error bars are indicated at the lower left

Europium abundances have been derived from the Eu II λ 4129 line for 51 stars of our sample. Even at spectral resolving power R = 60000 this line can not be extracted from noise in spectra of the hot halo stars HD 19445, HD 84937, BD 2°3375 and BD 34°2476. For the cool star BD 66°268, with the lowest metallicity, [Fe/H] = -2.20, only a spectrum observed at R = 40000 is available, and though the Eu II λ 4129 line is detected its profile cannot be satisfactorily fitted. In addition, Eu abundances could not be determined for all the stars observed in May 1997 and September 1996 because no spectra were reduced shortward of 4300 Å.

As discussed in Paper I NLTE effects weaken the Eu II λ 4129 line compared with the LTE case and NLTE abundance corrections are positive. For our stars $\Delta_{\rm NLTE}$ ranges from 0.03 dex to 0.07 dex. The final [Eu/Fe] ratios are presented in Table 1 and in Fig. 2, where the run of [Eu/H] with metallicity is shown, too. Uncertainties of stellar parameters cause abundance errors up to $\Delta \log \varepsilon_{\rm Eu} = 0.06$ dex (Paper I). The Eu II λ 4129 line is located in a crowded spectral range and this can lead to additional errors. To find a continuum level we fitted observed spectra in the spectral range from 4123Å to 4135Å. The difference of Eu abundances derived from two spectra of a star



Fig. 2. Variation of [Eu/Fe] (top panel) and [Eu/H] (bottom panel) with [Fe/H]. Symbols are the same as in Fig. 1

is usually within 0.05 dex. We estimate a total Eu abundance error of 0.1 dex.

Figs. 1 and 2 confirm the results obtained in Paper I and show new features that have become apparent due to the extension of the stellar sample. We summarize them as follows

- In the halo stars the [Ba/Fe] ratios are mainly between 0.01 and -0.13 with the exception, BD 34°2476, where barium is evidently overabundant relative to iron: [Ba/Fe] = 0.13. The three halo stars with Eu abundances available show [Eu/Fe] ratios that are close together with a mean value of 0.58.
- The thick disk stars reveal a Ba underabundance relative to iron with a mean value [Ba/Fe] = -0.10 ± 0.04 (except for BD 0°2245 with [Ba/Fe] = 0.19; we will return to this star below when discussing other element abundance ratios). A slight decline of the [Ba/Fe] abundance ratios with increasing metallicity should be noted. In contrast to Ba, europium is overabundant relative to iron in thick disk stars with a clear decline of the [Eu/Fe] abundance ratios from about 0.5 at [Fe/H] = -0.9 to 0.25 at [Fe/H] = -0.28.
- The thin disk stars reveal, on average, solar [Ba/Fe] abundance ratios with a mean value of $\overline{[Ba/Fe]} = -0.01 \pm 0.06$ and a decline of the [Eu/Fe] abundance ratios with increasing metallicity. There is a rather large spread in [Ba/Fe] up

to 0.3 dex that exceeds Ba abundance errors. At the same time, a spread in [Eu/Fe] is within abundance errors.

- We emphasize that both [Ba/Fe] and [Eu/Fe] abundance ratios reveal a distinction between thick and thin disk stellar populations. This distinction is more evident in the runs of [Ba/H] and [Eu/H] along metallicity (Fig. 1 and 2, bottom panels). In the region of overlapping metallicities Ba abundances in the thick disk stars are lower by 0.1 dex, on average, while Eu abundances are higher by 0.15 dex compared with the thin disk stars.

We note the star HD 3795 which reveals a chemical composition closer to the halo than to the thick disk population. From the two high-quality spectra observed at $R \simeq 60000$ we have determined the elemental abundances:

[Ba/Fe] = 0.02 and 0.01 from the Ba II λ 5853 and λ 6496,

[Eu/Fe] = 0.56 and 0.61 from the Eu II λ 4129 and λ 6645.

The ratios [Ba/Fe], [Eu/Fe] and [Eu/Mg] in this star are higher by 0.12 - 0.17 dex compared with the corresponding values in other thick disk stars.

Table 2. Mean values $\left[\mathrm{Ba}/\mathrm{Fe}\right]$ for thin disk stars of different ages

Age [Gyr]	Number of stars	$\overline{[Ba/Fe]}$
> 8	7	-0.06 ± 0.03
6 - 8	7	-0.03 ± 0.04
4 - 6	8	0.01 ± 0.07
2 - 4	5	0.06 ± 0.07
≤ 2	3	?

We have tried to find the reason for the large spread of [Ba/Fe] among the thin disk stars and noted a marginal correlation between the [Ba/Fe] abundance ratio and star's age. Stellar ages have been estimated by Bernkopf et al. (2001) using evolutionary tracks of VandenBerg et al. (2000) and recent own calculations. Allowing for an uncertainty of 1 Gyr for the stellar age estimates we combined the stars into separate age groups and calculated for each group the mean value [Ba/Fe] (Table 2). We do not give [Ba/Fe] for stars younger than 2 Gyr because the three stars available do not represent this group in a statistically reliable way; for two of them, HD 43042 and HD 130322, [Ba/Fe] = 0.00 and 0.03, respectively, and we note a surprisingly low [Ba/Fe] abundance ratio (-0.17) for Procyon (HD 61421). It is evident from Table 2 that during thin disk evolution the Ba abundance in interstellar matter increased relative to the iron abundance by about 0.12 dex. So, at least part of the observed spread in [Ba/Fe] may not be random. At the same time, Edvardsson et al. (1993) first noted, and Fuhrmann (2001) confirmed later, that the metallicity of thin disk stars correlates only weakly with the stellar age. For this reason we do not see any regular behaviour of the [Ba/Fe] ratios plotted against [Fe/H] of thin disk stars (Fig. 1).



Fig. 3. The Sr model atom. Linearized transitions are shown as solid lines

4. NLTE calculations for Sr II

The NLTE problem for Sr II was first treated on the base of a realistic model atom by Belyakova & Mashonkina (1997). Here we describe briefly the atomic data and new results.

The Sr II model atom contains all levels with $n \leq 12$ and $l \leq 4$. Doublet fine structure is neglected except for the $4d^2D$ and $5p^2P^\circ$ splitting. Thus, 40 bound levels of Sr II and the ground state of Sr III are included in the model atom. The corresponding Grotrian diagram is shown in Fig. 3. The Sr I levels are taken into account only for number conservation because in all stellar atmospheres considered the ratio n(Sr I)/n(Sr II) is smaller than 10^{-4} due to the low ionization energy of Sr I: $\chi(Sr I) = 5.695$ eV.

The energy levels are from Moore (1952) and Lindgard & Nielsen (1977). Sr II transition probabilities from Wiese & Martin (1980) are believed to be the best. If they are not available the data of Kurucz (1994) or Lindgard & Nielsen (1977) are taken giving preference to the first of the two sources. Photoionization cross-sections for ns, np and nd levels have been calculated by the quantum defect method using Peach's (1967) tables. For the remaining levels hydrogenic cross-sections are computed. For electron impact excitation we use the formula of van Regemorter (1962) for allowed transitions and that of Allen (1973) for forbidden ones. Electron impact ionization cross-sections are computed according to Drawin (1961). For hydrogen collisions, we use the formula of Steenbock & Holweger (1984). Since this formula provides only an order of magnitude estimate, the cross-sections were multiplied by appropriate scaling factors in order to produce the best fit to the solar Sr II line profiles.

The Sr II kinetic equilibrium is calculated using the code NONLTE3 (Sakhibullin 1983), which is based on the complete linearization method as described by Auer & Heasley (1976). The advanced method of calculations has been described in detail in our previous work (Mashonkina et al. 1999).

The Sr II term structure is similar to that of Ba II, and the same mechanisms of departures from LTE are responsible for both ions. NLTE effects for Ba II were described in detail earlier (Mashonkina et al. 1999). In Fig. 4 the departure coefficients, b_i , are shown for the solar atmosphere as a function of continuum optical depth τ_{5000} at $\lambda = 5000$ Å. In the first place,



Fig. 4. Departure coefficients b_i for some levels of Sr II in the model atmosphere of the Sun. Tick marks indicate the locations of line center optical depth unity for the Sr II lines. The resonance line core forms above $\log \tau_{5000} = -4$

we are interested in the behaviour of the levels contributing to the subsequent line profile synthesis. These are the 5s, 4d, 5p and 6s levels.

As Sr II is the dominant ionization stage, no process affects the ground state population, and 5s keeps its thermodynamic equilibrium value. The metastable level 4d is separated by 1.8 eV from the ground state and by 1.14 eV from 5p, and therefore collisional and radiative transitions 4d - 5p have stronger effects on the 4d level population compared with collisional coupling of this level to the ground state. The departure coefficients of 4d and 5p begin to deviate from 1 at the depths around $\log \tau_{5000} = -1$ where photon losses in the weakest line $\lambda 10036$ of the multiplet 4d-5p start to become important. The 4d overpopulation and 5p underpopulation are amplified in the upper layers which are transparent with respect to the radiation of the two strong lines of that multiplet. The overpopulation outside $\log \tau_{5000} = 0.4$ of all levels above 6s is due to line pumping. Inside $\log \tau_{5000} \simeq -1.5$ the 5*p*-level follows the ground state due to strong radiative and collisional coupling. Several transitions such as $5p \rightarrow 6d, 5p \rightarrow 7s, 4d \rightarrow 4f$ are pumped by $J_{\nu} - B_{\nu}(T_e)$ excess radiation in the layers where the line wing optical depth drops below 1.

¿From this behaviour of departure coefficients we expect that the Sr II resonance lines $\lambda 4077$, $\lambda 4215$, and the lines $\lambda 10036$, $\lambda 10327$, $\lambda 10914$ of multiplet 4d - 5p are amplified, whereas $\lambda 4161$ arising from 5p is weakened compared with the LTE case. In line formation layers the departure coefficients of the lower levels of 5s - 5p and 5p - 6s transitions equal 1, and NLTE effects for the resonance line and $\lambda 4161$ are caused by a deviation of the source function S_{lu} from $B_{\nu}(T_e)$: $S_{5s,5p} \simeq b_{5p}/b_{5s} B_{\nu}(T_e) < B_{\nu}(T_e)$ for the resonance lines and $S_{5p,6s} \simeq b(6s)/b(5p) B_{\nu}(T_e) > B_{\nu}(T_e)$ for $\lambda 4161$. For the infrared triplet lines both $b_l > 1$ and $b_u/b_l < 1$ are valid in line formation layers resulting in much larger NLTE effects compared with the resonance lines and $\lambda 4161$: for the Sun the NLTE abundance correction $\Delta_{\rm NLTE}$ is between -0.03 and -0.01 dex for $\lambda 4215$ and between 0.02 and 0.03 dex for $\lambda 4161$ depending on the efficiency of H atom collisions while $\Delta_{\rm NLTE}$ ranges from -0.18 to -0.35 dex for $\lambda 10327$.

A similar behaviour of the departure coefficients resulting in an amplification of the λ 4215 line and a weakening of λ 4161 has been found for all the stars of our sample. The first NLTE calculations for Sr II (Belyakova & Mashonkina 1997) have shown that in very metal-poor atmospheres the Sr II resonance lines are not strengthened but weakened compared with the LTE case. The same phenomenon was found for the Ba II lines, too (see Sect. 3). For the Ba II lines $\Delta_{\rm NLTE}$ changes its sign at [Fe/H] between -1.5 and -1.9 depending on $T_{\rm eff}$ while for the Sr II lines such a transition range is shifted to lower metallicities between -2.1 and -3.0. At [Fe/H] = -2.5 NLTE effects for the Sr II resonance lines depend strongly on $T_{\rm eff}$, log g and [Fe/H], and neglecting NLTE effects can lead to strontium abundance errors up to 1 dex (Belyakova & Mashonkina 1997).

5. Strontium abundances

5.1. Solar Sr II lines

The Sr II resonance line λ 4215 and the subordinate line λ 4161 are used in this study to determine stellar Sr abundances. Both of them are blended. Solar profiles of these lines are fitted to improve atomic parameters of blending lines. Another kind of important atomic data is the efficiency of hydrogen collisions in the Sr II kinetic equilibrium calculations which is represented by a scaling factor $k_{\rm H}$ applied to Steenbock & Holweger's (1984) version of Drawin's (1968,1969) formula for the computation of H atom collisional rates. As mentioned above, the lines λ 10327 and λ 10914 reveal strong NLTE effects, and they are therefore most suitable to estimate this scaling factor from solar line profile fitting.

We use solar flux observations taken from the Kitt Peak Solar Atlas (Kurucz et al. 1984). Our synthetic flux profiles are convolved with a profile that combines a rotational broadening of 1.8 km s⁻¹ and broadening by macroturbulence with a radial-tangential profile of $V_{\rm mac} = 2.8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for the infrared lines, $V_{\rm mac} = 3.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for $\lambda 4215$ and $V_{\rm mac} = 3.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for $\lambda 4161$. For the solar Sr abundance we accept the meteoritic value $\log \varepsilon_{\rm Sr} = 2.92$ from Grevesse et al. (1996). A depth-independent microturbulence of 0.8 km s⁻¹ is adopted. For a calculation of van der Waals damping constants C_6 we have derived a formula based on Anstee & O'Mara's (1995) calculations, where

$$\log C_6 = -42.598 + 15.13 \alpha + (1 - 2.5\alpha) \log v + 2.5 \log \Upsilon,$$

with

$$\Upsilon = \left(\frac{\sigma_0}{\pi} \, \Gamma(\frac{4-\alpha}{2})\right)$$

Here $v = (8kT/\pi\mu)^{1/2}$ and $\mu = M_A M_H/(M_A + M_H)$ is the reduced mass; σ_0 is a line-broadening cross-section and α is a velocity parameter. The values of σ_0 and α are tabulated in the papers of Anstee & O'Mara (1995), Barklem & O'Mara (1997, 2000), and Barklem et al. (1998). The C_6 -value depends only slightly on temperature as $T^{0.5-1.25\alpha}$. At typical values of α (between 0.2 and 0.4) log C_6 changes by 0.04 at maximum as



Fig. 5. Synthetic NLTE (continuous line) flux profiles of the Sr II lines compared with the observed spectrum of the Kurucz et al. (1984) solar flux atlas (bold dots). The pure Sr II λ 4215 and λ 4161 NLTE profiles (dotted line) are shown for comparison. For the Sr II λ 10327 the LTE profile is given as dashed line. See text for discussion of the fitting parameters

one goes from T = 4000K to T = 6000K. Therefore the small variation of C_6 across line formation layers can be neglected in calculations of line profiles, and we use in this study depth-independent C_6 -values computed at T = 6000K.

 $\underline{\lambda 10327}$ and $\underline{\lambda 10914}$. We use $\log gf(\lambda 10327) = -0.35$ and $\log gf(\lambda 10914) = -0.64$ according to Wiese & Martin (1980). The recent results of Guet & Johnson (1991) and Brage et al. (1998) give similar values: $\log gf(\lambda 10327) = -0.30$ and -0.34, respectively, and $\log gf(\lambda 10914) = -0.59$ and -0.62. The C_6 -

values for these lines (Table 3) have been computed with σ_0 and α taken from Barklem & O'Mara (2000).

We compared different atomic models excluding and including H atom collisions with cross-sections calculated according to Steenbock & Holweger (1984) and scaled by various factors $k_{\rm H}$. If hydrogen collisions are neglected we obtain for both lines broader and deeper theoretical profiles compared with the observed ones. Inclusion of these processes with $k_{\rm H}$ = 0.1 makes the NLTE profile shallower and narrower than the observed one. The best fits of both lines are obtained at $k_{\rm H}$ = 0.01. In Fig.5 (bottom panel) we show one of these lines, λ 10327. For comparison the LTE profile corresponding to the same fitting parameters is presented, too. It is obvious that assuming LTE we cannot fit the λ 10327 line profile with reasonable values of log $\varepsilon_{\rm Sr}$ and $V_{\rm mic}$; even the line wings are affected by NLTE effects.

<u> λ 4215</u>. The Sr II resonance lines are affected by hyperfine structure (HFS). Strontium is represented by four stable isotopes. For solar system matter the ratio of the even Sr isotopes to the odd ones (⁸⁴Sr+⁸⁶Sr+⁸⁸Sr) : ⁸⁷Sr is 93:7 according to Cameron (1982). Isotopic shifts are very small ($\leq 2m$ Å) but the odd isotopes have hyperfine splitting of their levels resulting in several HFS components for a spectral line. We use the data on wavelengths and relative intensities of HFS components given by McWilliam et al. (1995). Oscillator strengths of separate components (Table 3) have been calculated using solar Sr isotopic abundances and $\log gf(\lambda 4215) =$ -0.172 from Wiese & Martin (1980). The most recent value $\log gf(\lambda 4215) = -0.175$ of Brage et al. (1998) coincides with that adopted in our study.

The Sr II λ 4215.539 Å line is blended by the strong Fe I λ 4215.426 Å line and by a few CN molecular lines in the far blue and red line wings. We treat Fe I λ 4215 with the fixed values of log gf = -1.76 and log $C_6 = -30.69$. The last value was calculated using the above formula. For the Sun log $\varepsilon_{\rm Fe} = 7.51$ was adopted. Oscillator strengths of the CN molecular lines were fitted to reproduce the observed blend profile.

Sr II λ 4215 is strongly affected by van der Waals damping. The classical Unsöld (1955) formula gives $\log C_6 = -32.275$ while the formula above leads to $\log C_6 = -31.805$ with σ_0 and α from Barklem & O'Mara (2000). Varying $\log C_6$ by only 0.1 has a significant effect on the total energy absorbed in this line. A careful analysis of the solar line profile makes possible a separation of collisional broadening and blending effects. The best fit obtained with $\log C_6 = -32.02$ is presented in Fig.5 (top panel). For comparison we give also the pure Sr II λ 4215 NLTE profile calculated with the same parameters.

We did not succeed fitting the λ 4215 line core (Fig.5) because it is formed in the uppermost atmospheric layers above log $\tau_{5000} = -4$, and it is most probably influenced by a nonthermal and depth-dependent chromospheric velocity field that is not part of our solar model.

 $\underline{\lambda 4161}$. This line is located in the far red wing of two strong blends, Fe I $\lambda 4161.488$ Å and Ti II $\lambda 4161.534$ Å. In addition, absorption in a few CN and SiH molecular lines near 4161.8 Å lowers the continuum flux by about 5%. We have found that $\log gf = -0.50$ given by Wiese & Martin (1980) does not allow to reproduce the solar Sr II $\lambda 4161$ line with a fixed value

Table 3. Atomic data for the Sr II lines. Most of the entries are selfexplanatory; f_{ij} of the HFS components correspond to Sr isotopic abundances of solar system matter

λ [Å]	HFS	S	$\log \gamma_R$	$\log C_6$
transition	$\Delta\lambda$ [mÅ]	f_{ij}		
4215.534	-17	0.0078	8.125	-32.02
$5s^2 S_{1/2} - 5p^2 P_{1/2}^\circ$	-11	0.0052		
, , ,	-1	0.0333		
	0	0.279		
	13	0.0028		
	18	0.0078		
4161.794		0.19	8.529	-31.40
$5p^2 P_{1/2}^\circ$ - $6s^2 S_{1/2}$				
10327.311		0.074	8.175	-31.60
$4d^2D_{5/2} - 5p^2P_{3/2}^{\circ}$				
10914.877		0.058	8.125	-31.60
$4d^2{\rm D}_{3/2}\text{ - }5p^2{\rm P}_{1/2}^\circ$				

of $\log \varepsilon_{\rm Sr} = 2.92$ and reasonable values of $V_{\rm mic}$. The best fit (Fig.5, middle panel) is obtained with $\log gf = -0.41$ and $\log C_6 = -31.4$. The last value is larger by 0.1 compared with the classical Unsöld (1955) constant.

5.2. Stellar Sr abundances

As mentioned above both Sr II lines of interest are blended. To obtain a good line profile fitting of the stellar spectra and, thus, to reduce Sr abundances errors we use only the spectra observed at R \simeq 60000 in 1998 to 2000. An exception refers to the four stars, HD 45282, HD 194598, HD 201891 and BD 66°268, particularly important for our study. In total, Sr abundances have been determined for 49 stars and for 36 of them from both Sr II lines. The weaker λ 4161 line disappears at [Fe/H] < -1. As an example, we give in Fig. 6 the Sr II λ 4215 line profiles for the three metal-poor stars and the Sr II λ 4161 line profile for one of them. The contribution of the Fe I λ 4215.426 Å line blend reduces rapidly with decreasing [Fe/H] because the electron number density affects line strengths of minor species such as FeI much more than those of dominant ionization stages such as SrII. It can be seen in Fig. 6 (right column, bottom panel) that for HD 84937 the contribution of the Fe I λ 4215 line is negligible. This holds also for the other 3 stars of our sample with [Fe/H] < -1.9 and $T_{\rm eff} > 6000$ K.

NLTE effects for the Sr II lines are small for all the stars of our sample: NLTE abundance corrections $\Delta_{\rm NLTE}$ are negative for λ 4215 and positive for λ 4161 and do not exceed 0.07 dex and 0.05 dex, respectively, by absolute value. For 36 stars with both Sr II lines investigated a difference of NLTE abundances derived from λ 4215 and λ 4161 is mainly within 0.08 dex with the mean value of 0.00 \pm 0.06 dex while the mean difference of LTE abundances is 0.05 \pm 0.06 dex.

In general, Sr abundances derived from the resonance line depend on the even-to-odd Sr isotope ratio adopted in calculations. We concluded in Paper I that Ba and Eu in halo and thick disk stars were mainly produced by r-process in highmass stars. Sr might be produced not only in the r-process but



Fig. 6. NLTE synthetic (continuous line) and pure Sr II line profiles (dotted) compared with observed FOCES spectra (bold dots) of HD 69611 ([Fe/H] = -0.60, top row), HD 144579 ([Fe/H] = -0.69, bottom row, left panel) and HD 84937 ([Fe/H] = -2.07, bottom row, right panel)

also in a weak s-process that is related to high mass stars, too. According to Arlandini et al. (1999) the r-process contributes only to the ⁸⁸Sr isotope; the consequence of a dominating rprocess is therefore the disappearance of HFS components in the Sr II lines. On the other hand the separation of HFS components of the λ 4215 line is not large (35 mÅ at maximum, see Table 3); Sr abundances derived from λ 4215 with and without HFS thus differ by 0.07 dex at [Fe/H] $\simeq -2$. According to Beer et al. (1992) the weak s-process produces much more even Sr isotopes than odd ones: $({}^{86}Sr + {}^{88}Sr) : {}^{87}Sr = 93 : 7$, similarly to the main s-process which defines the solar system even-toodd Sr isotope ratio. The ratio of the weak s- to r-process is estimated as 3:2 (Arlandini et al. 1999). Consequently, the use of a solar even-to-odd Sr isotope ratio leads to an uncertainty of Sr abundances in halo and thick disk stars of not more than 0.02 - 0.03 dex. We neglect such a small value and use the solar even-to-odd Sr isotope ratio for all stars of our sample.

The final [Sr/Fe] are presented in Table 1 and Fig. 7. Whenever both Sr II lines were available the average value was calculated. It can be concluded from Fig. 7 that the general behaviour of the [Sr/Fe] abundance ratios with respect to metallicity is similar to that of [Ba/Fe] (Fig. 1, top panel). For the thin disk stars there is a spread in [Sr/Fe] up to 0.3. Similarly to [Ba/Fe] this points to a correlation of [Sr/Fe] with stellar age: for 12 stars older than 5 Gyr the mean value $\overline{[Sr/Fe]} = -0.10$ while for 7 stars younger than 5 Gyr $\overline{[Sr/Fe]} = 0.04$. The thick disk stars show a decline of [Sr/Fe] with [Fe/H] increasing, so,

that in "late" thick disk stars ([Fe/H] > -0.5) strontium is underabundant relative to iron by 0.1 dex, and this value coincides with the Ba underabundance reported in Sect.3 for the thick disk stars. Underabundances of Sr relative to iron are typical for the halo stars which are close together with a mean value of [Sr/Fe] = -0.10 ± 0.02 . The discrepant result for BD 34°2476 was dropped (see Sect.6 for further discussion of this star).

The thick disk star, BD 0°2245 ([Fe/H] = -1.13), reveals a Sr overabundance relative to iron similar to that found for Ba (Sect.3). There is no HIPPARCOS parallax for this star and the uncertainty of stellar parameters could explain apparent peculiar abundances of Sr and Ba. Another explanation would be that this star was the secondary component of a binary, and that we observe accreted s-process products formed in the evolved primary component.

There are only a few Sr abundance studies of cool stars in the literature. For the sample of 16 stars including dwarfs, giants and supergiants Gratton & Sneden (1994) have found small Sr excess in the metallicity range from -0.9 down to -2.8with the mean value [Sr/Fe] = 0.07 ± 0.11 (10 stars) and slight underabundance of Sr relative to Fe up to 0.15 dex for 6 stars with [Fe/H] > -0.6. These data are based on the examination of equivalent widths of the Sr II λ 4161 line, and the authors note that the [Sr/Fe] ratios given by the Sr II resonance line at 4215 Åare smaller by 0.21 \pm 0.04 dex. The mean ratio [Sr/Fe] = -0.14 deduced from λ 4215 line for the halo stars is in agreement with that found in the present study. At [Fe/H] < -1



Fig.7. The run of [Sr/Fe] with [Fe/H]. Symbols are the same as in Fig.1

the SrII subordinate line is rather weak, and in our opinion Sr abundances based on the Sr II λ 4215 line are more reliable than those derived from Sr II λ 4161. Using equivalent widths of Sr II $\lambda 4077$ and $\lambda 4215$ for a sample of cool dwarfs in the metallicity range similar to ours, Hartmann & Gehren (1988) have obtained [Sr/Fe] abundance ratios close to solar, independent of the general metal abundance. However, the large scatter of up to 0.5 dex masks any features in the run [Sr/Fe] vs. [Fe/H]. Based on the Sr I λ 4607 line Jehin et al. (1999) have obtained [Sr/Fe] abundance ratios between 0 and -0.4 for a sample of 21 mildly metal-poor stars in the narrow metallicity range from -0.8 down to -1.3. We remember that using the LTE assumption may result in an underestimate of element abundances derived from spectral lines of minor species such as Sr I. Magain (1989) has studied only metal-poor stars with [Fe/H] < -1.4 and obtained a Sr excess of about 0.4 dex at [Fe/H] between -1.5 and -2.5 and a decline of the [Sr/Fe] abundance ratios at lower metallicities, however, he notes that results for Sr should be considered as preliminary due to the strength of the available lines (Sr II λ 4077 and λ 4215) and the uncertainties affecting the gf-values as well as the damping constants. In the range of overlapping metallicities his data are different from ours. For extremely metal-poor stars with [Fe/H] < -2.4McWilliam et al. (1995) and Ryan et al. (1996) have found a decline of the [Sr/Fe] abundance ratios with decreasing metallicity and a spread in these ratios up to 2.5 dex. Elemental abundances were determined from the Sr II λ 4077 and λ 4215 lines with the LTE assumption. It was noted in Sect.4 that for extremely metal-poor stars NLTE effects for the Sr II lines depend strongly on stellar parameters. We give one example. For two stars of Ryan et al. sample, BS 16968-061 ($T_{\rm eff}$ = 6000K, $\log g$ = 4, [Fe/H] = -3.08) and CS 22186-005 ($T_{\rm eff}$ = 6000K, $\log g$ = 2, [Fe/H] = -2.77), we have computed NLTE abundance corrections $\Delta_{\text{NLTE}} = 0.25$ dex and 0.60 dex, respectively. Based on NLTE Sr abundances we obtain for these stars new values [Sr/Fe] = -0.25 and -0.63 instead of -0.50 and -1.23 as determined by Ryan et al. Therefore, the large spread in [Sr/Fe] ratios published by McWilliam et al. (1995) and Ryan et al. (1996) may be at least in part due to neglecting NLTE effects for the Sr II lines.

Element abundance ratios [Eu/Ba], [Eu/Mg], [Sr/Ba], and the evolution of the Galaxy

In this section we discuss the [Eu/Ba], [Eu/Mg] and [Sr/Ba] abundance ratios (Fig. 8) in the halo, thick and thin disk of the Galaxy. These ratios provide useful diagnostics of the role of different processes (α -process, weak and main s-process, r-process) in the chemical enrichment of the interstellar gas and thus give important information about dominant sites of nucleosynthesis at different epochs of Galactic evolution.

There is strong evidence that the relative elemental (at least, with Z < 70) r-process abundances have not changed over the history of the Galaxy. Sneden et al. (1996) have found the elemental abundances in the extremely metal-poor star CS 22892-052 ([Fe/H] $\simeq -3.1$) consistent with the solar r-process distribution for the elements $Z \ge 56$. Similar results have been obtained for another three halo stars ([Fe/H] = -2.7and -1.7) by Cowan et al. (1999) and for the three stars in the globular cluster M15 ([Fe/H] = -2.2) by Sneden et al. (2000). Hill et al.(2001) studied elemental abundances in the range Z = 38 to Z = 92 in the halo star CS 31082-001 with [Fe/H] = -2.9 and concluded that the 56 < Z < 70 elements are very well reproduced by a solar r-process. In Fig. 8 (top panel) we include a line indicating the solar abundance ratio of Eu to Ba contributed by the r-process (Arlandini et al. 1999) relative to the total abundances, $[Eu/Ba]_r = 0.70$. This value is uncertain within 0.1 dex mainly due to an uncertainty of the r-process contribution to ¹³⁸Ba estimated by Arlandini et al. (1999) as 58%. To obtain the pure r-process $[Sr/Ba]_r$ abundance ratio the contributions of the main and weak s-process to solar Sr have to be evaluated. The main s-process contributes 85% according to Arlandini et al. (1999). Up to now there is no realistic model of the weak s-process and Arlandini et al. estimate the ratio between the weak s-process and r-process contributions to solar Sr as 3:2 using the schematic approach of Beer et al. (1992). This gives $[Sr/Ba]_r = -0.50$, while the solar ratio of Sr contributed altogether by the r- and weak s-process to Ba contributed by the r-process, $[Sr_{w+r}/Ba_r]$, equals -0.10. The last value is determined with less uncertainty of about 0.1 dex compared with 0.2 dex or even more for the $[Sr/Ba]_r$ ratio.

Our results, apparent from Fig. 8, confirm in general and improve the conclusions drawn in Paper I; they also provide the fundaments of new conclusions.

Europium is overabundant relative to barium in *halo stars* with a mean value [Eu/Ba] = 0.61. We have analyzed only three halo stars and this limits us in drawing reliable conclusions concerning the halo. However, these stars complement from the side of a moderate metal-deficiency the sample of 14 extremely metal-poor stars with [Fe/H] ≤ -2.4 studied by McWilliam (1998). Using the LTE assumption he has obtained a mean value [Eu/Ba] = 0.69. At stellar parameters typical for his sample our NLTE calculations for Ba II and Eu II show positive NLTE abundance corrections for both elements but their values are larger for barium. So, the mean value [Eu/Ba] = 0.69 found by McWilliam might be smaller by about 0.05 - 0.1 dex, and our data for the moderately metal-deficient halo stars show the same [Eu/Ba] ratio. Thus, the observed [Eu/Ba] ratios, close to [Eu/Ba]_r = 0.70 independent of metallicity, favour *the dom*-



Fig. 8. Variation of element abundance ratios with [Fe/H]. Symbols are the same as in Fig. 1. Dotted lines in the top panel limit the range of the $[Eu/Ba]_r$ ratio uncertainty

inance of the r-process heavy element synthesis during the formation of the halo population. If the upper mass limit of AGB stars' progenitors, responsible for Ba synthesis in the s-process, lies between 3 M_{\odot} (Raiteri et al. 1999) and 4 M_{\odot} (Travaglio et al. 1999) s-nuclei of Ba appear after about 0.3 - 0.6 Gyr from the beginning of the protogalactic collapse. From the insignificant contribution of the s-process to Ba production we conclude that the halo population has formed rapidly during an interval of 0.3 - 0.6 Gyr.

Strontium is slightly underabundant relative to barium in halo stars with a mean value of [Sr/Ba] = -0.05 ± 0.06 (ex-

cept for BD 34°2476). For most stars of his sample McWilliam (1998) has obtained positive or close to 0 [Sr/Ba] abundance ratios. Thus, [Sr/Ba] in halo stars is much higher compared with $[Sr/Ba]_r = -0.50$, and a secondary source of Sr must have occurred. Could the weak s-process be that source? Similarly to the r-process it runs in high-mass stars with an evolution time consistent with the short timescale for the halo. On the other hand, the amount of Sr produced by the weak s-process is expected to be very low in metal-poor stars simply due to the secondary nature of the weak s-process. We note that halo stars reveal [Sr/Ba] abundance ratios close to $[Sr_{w+r}/Ba_r] = -0.10$. Is this similarity accidental? Or is it because the efficiency of the weak s-process becomes more significant at $[Fe/H] \ge -2?$ An alternative possibility would be that the second Sr source is the α -rich freeze-out, as detailed by Woosley & Hoffman (1992); this mechanism is predicted to synthesize elements up to the Sr region, and as a primary process should not be extinguished at low metallicity. More theoretical work will be required to answer these questions.

Only one halo star, BD $34^{\circ}2476$, reveals a clear Sr underabundance relative to Ba with [Sr/Ba] = -0.34. The two CH subgiants from McWilliam's (1998) sample show [Sr/Ba] < -0.4. Does it mean these stars formed far from the weak s-process sites?

Europium is overabundant relative to barium in thick disk stars with [Eu/Ba] abundance ratios between 0.56 and 0.35. We first note a slight decline of this ratio with increasing metallicity: [Eu/Ba] reduces by about 0.1 - 0.15 dex as [Fe/H] grows from -1 to -0.3. This means that the r-process remained dominant in heavy element production during thick disk evolution. At the same time, evolved low mass stars started to enrich the interstellar gas by s-nuclei of Ba. The decrease of the [Eu/Ba] ratio by 0.1 - 0.15 dex constitutes a constraint to the duration of that phase. From the small contribution of the s-process to Ba production we conclude that, similar to the halo, the thick disk population formed in the early Galaxy, when high mass stars were the main sites of nucleosynthesis. Keeping in mind the evolution time of AGB star progenitors we suppose that the duration of halo and thick disk formation was not much longer than 1 Gyr. This conclusion is in good agreement with ages of the three thick disk subgiants of our sample obtained by Bernkopf et al. (2001) on the base of recently improved stellar interior calculations. Bernkopf et al. (2001) give 13.8 \pm 1.3 Gyr, 13.5 \pm 1.3 Gyr and 12.5 \pm 1.1 Gyr for the thick disk subgiants HD 3795, HD 10519 and HD 222794, respectively. It is also compatible with age estimates for halo stars based on the detection of the Th II λ 4019 line in spectra of very metalpoor stars of Sneden et al. (1996) and Cowan et al. (1999) who have obtained an average age of 15.6 \pm 4.6 Gyr for the two stars CS 22892-052 ([Fe/H] $\simeq -3.1)$ and HD 115444 ([Fe/H] $\simeq -2.7$); Sneden et al. (2000) also have determined an age of 14 ± 3 Gyr for the stars in M15. Recently Cayrel et al. (2001) have detected the U II λ 3859.57 line in the very metal-poor star CS 31082-0018 and using uranium abundance as a cosmochronometer have estimated an age of this star as 12.5 \pm 3 Gyr. As Spite (2001) kindly informed us, the gf-value of the UII line has been revisited since the publication of Cayrel et al. (2001) result and the age is now 13.2 ± 2 Gyr. Thus, within error bars the thick disk stellar population is as old as the halo. We note further that the halo and thick disk stars' ages agree well with the recent cosmological age estimates, based on high-redshift supernovae, of 14.9 ± 1.5 Gyr (Perlmutter et al. 1999) and 14.2 ± 1.7 Gyr (Riess et al. 1998).

Strontium is slightly overabundant relative to barium in the thick disk stars with the mean value $[Sr/Ba] = 0.05 \pm 0.05$. This could be due to a strengthening of the weak s-process with increasing overall metallicity. Theoretical studies of the weak s-process are required to test this idea.

This study confirms the step-like decrease of the [Eu/Ba] abundance ratio at the thick-to-thin disk transition found in our previous analysis (Paper I). In the region of overlapping metallicities the [Eu/Ba] ratios in the thin disk stars are lower on average by 0.25 dex compared with the thick disk stars. This finding is indicative of a phase of ceased star formation before the onset of the thin disk formation during which r-process element production stopped but s-process nuclei of Ba were synthesized in evolved low mass stars. The duration of this intermediate phase can be evaluated from calculations of the sprocess nucleosynthesis in AGB stars, and our [Ba/Fe] (Fig. 1) and [Eu/Ba] (Fig.8) ratios provide observational constraints. Such a hiatus in star formation was suggested by Gratton et al. (1996) and Fuhrmann (1998) on the base of the $\left[\alpha/\text{Fe}\right]$ abundance ratio analyses. Direct evidence of a star formation gap between thick and thin disk of no less than 3 Gyr is given by Bernkopf et al. (2001). They have obtained stellar ages between 6.8 and 8.1 Gyr for the three thin disk subgiants and between 12.5 and 13.8 Gyr for the thick disk subgiants mentioned above. Thus, from the point of view of their chemical history and age the thick disk stellar population is much closer to the halo than to the thin disk stellar population.

The thin disk stars show a steep decline of the [Eu/Ba] abundance ratios with increasing metallicity: [Eu/Ba] is reduced by about 0.35 dex as one goes from [Fe/H] = -0.5 to 0.25. These data indicate that evolved low mass stars now produce larger masses of s-process elements as compared with the return of r-elements from high mass stars, well in agreement with the thin disk IMF and the long timescale of about 9 Gyr. Sr nearly follows Ba in the thin disk stars and this confirms again that the main s-process now becomes dominant in the production of these elements.

In Paper I we have first reported an overabundance of Eu relative to Mg in two halo stars. One halo star added in this study, HD 103095, shows the same overabundance with [Eu/Mg] = 0.27. Moreover, there is a marginal tendency towards a higher [Eu/Mg] ratio in the "early" thick disk stars (the mean value $[Eu/Mg] = 0.04 \pm 0.05$ at [Fe/H] < -0.65) compared with the "late" thick disk stars (the mean value $[Eu/Mg] = -0.05 \pm 0.04$ at the near solar Mg abundances, except for HD 3795 with [Eu/Mg] = 0.17).

The knowledge of the [Eu/Mg] abundance ratio in the oldest stars of the Galaxy is of great importance for an estimate of the timescale for early Galaxy formation. Theoretical predictions of SN II element yields show that [α /Fe] increases with increasing progenitor mass (Arnett 1991). Most theoretical models of r-process nucleosynthesis are based on low mass (8 - 12 M_{\odot}) supernovae (Mathews & Cowan 1990; Tsujimoto

& Shigeyama 1998; Travaglio et al. 1999). Ishimaru & Wanajo (1999) constrain the mass range of SNe for the r-process site by either 8 - 10 M_{\odot} or $\geq 30 M_{\odot}$. If the production of Eu is related to low mass SNe while Mg is produced in larger amounts in high-mass SNe we should expect an underabundance and, certainly, not an overabundance of Eu relative to Mg in the oldest stars of the Galaxy. We have inspected europium and magnesium abundances available in the literature. For a sample of 12 halo stars with [Fe/H] from -2.66 to -1.48 from Magain's (1989) work the [Eu/Mg] abundance ratios vary from -0.01 to 0.51 with the mean value [Eu/Mg] = 0.18. Only one star, HD 140283, shows an underabundance of Eu relative to Mg of 0.30 dex, however, for the same star Ryan et al. (1996) give [Eu/Mg] = 0.33. A surprisingly large spread in [Eu/Mg] can be found in the McWilliam et al. (1995) and Ryan et al. (1996) data for very metal-poor stars. In the first paper the [Eu/Mg] ratios vary from -0.52 to 1.95 for the sample of 14 stars and in the second one from 0.15 to 1.86 for a sample of 12 stars with one star revealing [Eu/Mg] = -1. At the same time, we note a large divergence of elemental abundances between these two studies for stars in common. For example, for CS 22952-015 ([Fe/H \simeq -3.4) McWilliam et al. and Ryan et al. obtain [Mg/Fe] = -0.18 and 0.38, respectively; for CS 22968-014 ([Fe/H $\simeq -3.4$) [Mg/Fe] = -0.06 and 0.64; for CS 22885-096 ($[Fe/H \simeq -3.8)$) Ryan et al. find an overabundance of Eu relative to Fe of 1 dex while McWilliam et al. cannot even measure the Eu II lines. Thus, much more observational work will be required to improve europium to magnesium abundance ratios in halo stars. A large spread in [Eu/Mg] for very metal-poor stars, if it exists, indicates different sites for Mg and Eu production and quite insufficient mixing of the interstellar gas in the early Galaxy.

As our sample of halo stars is small (3 stars) we can draw only a preliminary conclusion that our data on the [Eu/Mg] abundance ratios in the halo and "early" thick disk stars complemented by the data available in the literature *do not support theoretical models of the r-process based on low mass SNe*. Assume that Eu is mostly produced in the higher mass SNe compared with Mg. In this case a timescale for the galactic halo is defined by a time delay of SNe II producing Mg and it cannot be larger than 20 million years which is the evolution time of 8 M_☉ mass star (Massevich & Tutukov 1988). Therefore the halo formation phase may indeed be much shorter than the 0.3 - 0.6 Gyr deduced above from the analysis of the [Eu/Ba] abundance ratios.

Summing up the above results we imagine the following scenario of the Galaxy evolution. The first stellar population of the Galaxy consisted of very high-mass stars and produced heavy elements with a higher efficiency for the r-process elements compared with α -elements or iron. Thus the interstellar gas, out of which the second stellar population (halo) formed, had [Eu/Mg] > 0, [Eu/Fe] > 0 and [Mg/Fe] > 0. The halo stellar population formed during a very short interval comparable to the evolution time of progenitors of those SNe II responsible for Mg production. The question then is: what are masses of these progenitors? The halo formation was characterized by a very high star formation rate. During this phase r-, α -elements and iron were produced with nearly the same efficiency and, probably, in common sites, so that the [Mg/Fe],

[Eu/Fe] and [Eu/Mg] ratios kept their values. Until the onset of thick disk formation the progenitors of SNe II, which are the major producers of magnesium, have evolved and the [Eu/Mg] abundance ratio decreases in the "early" thick disk stars (Fig. 8, bottom panel). As the evolution time of SNe II progenitors is not longer than 20 million years therefore the onset of the thick disk refers to the early Galaxy. The timescale for the thick disk formation is probably of the order of 1 Gyr. During this phase iron starts to be produced in SNe I and its production rate is higher than that for Eu resulting in a steep decline of [Eu/Fe] with [Fe/H] (Fig. 2, top panel); the production rate of iron is also higher than for Mg (there is evidence of a slight decline of the [Mg/Fe] and $[\alpha/Fe]$ abundance ratios with metallicity in the figures of Bernkopf et al., 2001 and Prochaska et al., 2000). The heavy elements beyond the iron group are mainly produced by the r-process in high mass SNe II, however, the main s-process nuclei appear. The decrease of the [Eu/Ba] ratio by about 0.1 -0.15 dex implies a constraint to the duration of the thick disk formation phase. Then star formation in our Galaxy stopped for about 3 Gyr (according to Bernkopf et al. 2001). Europium abundances [Eu/H] (Fig. 2, bottom panel) and Mg abundances [Mg/H] (Bernkopf et al., 2001) remained constant during this phase while iron and the main s-process elements such as Ba continued to be produced in evolved lower-mass stars. The decrease by about 0.25 dex of the [Eu/Ba] ratio at the thick-tothin disk transition provides an independent method to estimate the duration of that intermediate phase. The thin disk phase then was characterized by the higher iron production rate compared with that for α - (Fuhrmann 1998) and r-elements (Fig. 2, top panel). In turn, the main s-process elements were produced during this phase with a larger efficiency compared with iron (Table 2).

The suggested scenario based on the chemical history of the Galaxy will be useful to develop a realistic model of the Galaxy evolution taking into consideration physical and dynamical parameters of the galactic stellar populations. One of the important and unsolved problems of the Galaxy's chemical evolution concerns the astrophysical site for the r-process; it requires further work in stellar evolution, nuclear physics and stellar spectroscopy. Yet it is somewhat surprising that 40 years after the trailblazing work of Eggen et al. (1962) we return to very much the same conclusions, however, with at least one more population than known at that time.

Acknowledgements. ML acknowledges with gratitude the Max-Planck Institut of Astrophysics for the partial support of this study and the Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics of Munich University for warm hospitality during a productive stay in July - September 2000. ML thanks especially Rolf-Peter Kudritzki for his support. We thank Klaus Fuhrmann for providing reduced FOCES spectra and parameters for most of the stars investigated in this paper, for valuable help and useful discussions, and for comments on the manuscript of this paper. We are grateful to Andreas Korn for providing reduced FOCES spectra and parameters for the three stars and to Johannes Reetz for providing the SIU code for synthetic spectrum computations. We report with sorrow the death of Michael Pfeiffer who designed and built the échelle spectrograph FOCES, on which our present results are based. ML has been partially supported by the Russian Basic Researches Fund (grant 99-02-17488).

References

- Allen C.W., 1973, Astrophysical Quantities. Athlone Press
- Anders E., Grevesse N., 1989, Geoch. & Cosmochim. Acta 53, 197
- Anstee S.D., O'Mara B.J., 1995, MNRAS 276, 859
- Arlandini C., Käppeler F., Wisshak K. et al., 1999, ApJ 525, 886
- Arnett, D. 1991, in Frontiers of Stellar Evolution, ASP Conf. Ser. 20, 389
- Auer L.H., Heasley J., 1976, ApJ 205, 165
- Barklem P.S., O'Mara B.J., 1997, MNRAS 290, 102
- Barklem P.S., O'Mara B.J., Ross J.E., 1998, MNRAS 296, 1057
- Barklem P.S., O'Mara B.J., 2000, MNRAS 311, 535
- Beer H., Walter G., Käppeler F. 1992, ApJ 389, 784
- Belyakova E.V., Mashonkina L.I., 1997, Astron.Rep. 41, 530
- Bernkopf J., Fiedler A., Fuhrmann K., 2001, in *Astrophysical Ages* and *Time Scales*, ASP Conf. Ser. (in press)
- Brage T., Wahlgren G.M., Leckrone D.S., Proffitt C.R., 1998, ApJ 496, 1051
- Cameron A.G.W., 1982, Ap&SS 82, 123
- Cayrel R., Hill V., Beers T.C. et al., 2001, Nature 409, 691
- Chen Y.Q., Nissen P.E., Zhao G., Zhang H.W., Benoni T., 2000 A&AS 141, 491
- Cowan J.J., Pfeiffer B., Kratz K.-L., et al. 1999, ApJ 521, 194
- Drawin H.-W., 1961, Z.Physik 164, 513
- Drawin H.W. 1968, Z. Physik 211, 404
- Drawin H.W. 1969, Z. Physik 225, 483
- Edvardsson B., Andersen J., Gustafsson B. et al., 1993, A&A 275, 101
- Eggen O.J., Linden-Bell D., Sandage A., 1962, ApJ 136, 748
- Fuhrmann K., 1998, A&A 338, 161
- Fuhrmann K., 2001, in preparation
- Gilmore G., Reid N., 1983, MNRAS 202, 1025
- Gratton R.G., Sneden C., 1994, A&A 287, 927
- Gratton R.G., Carretta E., Matteucci F., Sneden C., 1996, ASP Conf.Ser. 92, 307
- Gratton R.G., Carretta E., Matteucci F., Sneden C., 2000, A&A 358, 671
- Guet C., Johnson W.R. 1991, Phys. Rev. A44, 1531
- Grevesse N., Noels A., Sauval A.J. 1996, ASP Conf.Ser. 99, 117
- Hartmann K., Gehren T. 1988, A&A 199, 269
- Hill V., Plez B., Cayrel R., Beers T.C. 2001, in Astrophysical Ages and Time Scales, ASP Conf. Ser. (in press)
- Holweger H. 1979, in: Les Elements et leurs Isotopes dans l'Univers, 22nd Liège Symp., Liège, p. 117
- Ishimaru Y., Wanajo S. 1999, ApJ 511, L33
- Jehin E., Magain P., Neuforge C., Noels A., Parmentier G., Thoul A.A. 1999, A&A 341, 241
- Käppeler F., Beer H., Wisshak K. 1989, Rep.Prog.Phys. 52, 945
- Korn A. 2000, private communication
- Kurucz R.L. 1994, CD-ROM 18, 19
- Kurucz R.L., Furenlid I., Brault J., Testerman L. 1984, Solar Flux Atlas from 296 to 1300 nm. Nat. Solar Obs., Sunspot, New Mexico
- Lindgard A., Nielsen S.E. 1977, Atomic Data Nucl. Data Tables 19, 533
- Magain P. 1989, A&A 209, 211
- Mashonkina L.I. 2000, Astron.Rep. 44, 558
- Mashonkina L.I., Bikmaev I.F. 1996, Astron.Rep. 40, 109
- Mashonkina L.I., Gehren T., Bikmaev I.F. 1999, A&A 343, 519
- Mashonkina L.I., Gehren T. 2000, A&A 364, 249 (Paper I)
- Massevitch A.G., Tutukov A.V. 1988, Stellar Evolution: theory and observations. Moscow: "Nauka"
- Mathews G.J., Cowan J.J. 1990, Nature 345, 491
- McWilliam A. 1998, AJ 115, 1640

- McWilliam A., Preston G.W., Sneden C., Searle L., 1995, AJ 109, 2757
- Moore C.E. 1952, Atomic Energy Levels. Circ. NBS 467
- Peach G. 1967, Mem. R. Astron. Soc. 71, 13
- Perlmutter S., Aldering G., Goldhaber G., et al. 1999, ApJ 517, 565
- Pfeiffer M., Frank C., Baumüller D., Fuhrmann K., Gehren T. 1998, A&AS 130, 381
- Prochaska J.X., Naumov S.O., Carney B.W., McWilliam A., Wolfe A.M., 2000, AJ 120, 2513
- Raiteri C.M., Villata M., Gallino R., Busso M., Cravanzola A. 1999, ApJ 518, L91
- Riess A.G., Filippenko A.V., Challis P., et al. 1998, AJ 116, 1009
- Ryan S.G., Norris J.E., Beers T.C. 1996, ApJ 471, 254
- Sakhibullin N.A. 1983, Trudi Kazan gor.obs. 48, 9
- Sneden C., McWilliam A., Preston G.W. et al. 1996, ApJ 467, 819
- Sneden C., Johnson J., Kraft R.P., et al. 2000, ApJ 536, L85

Spite M. 2001, private communication

- Steenbock W., Holweger H. 1984, A&A 130, 319
- Travaglio C., Galli D., Gallino R., et al. 1999, ApJ 521, 691
- Tsujimoto T., Shigeyama T. 1998, ApJ 508, L151
- Unsöld A. 1955 Physik der Sternatmosphären, 2nd edition, Springer, Berlin - Göttingen - Heidelberg
- VandenBerg D.A., Swenson F.J., Rogers F.J., Iglesias C.A., Alexander D.R. 2000, ApJ 532, 430
- van Regemorter H. 1962, ApJ 136, 906
- Wiese W.L., Martin G.A. 1980, Wavelengths and Transition Probabilities for Atoms and Atomic Ions. Part II. NSRDS - NBS 68, Washington, D.C.
- Woosley S.E., Hoffman R.D. 1992, ApJ 395, 202